Neurocomputing 483 (2022) 322-332

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neurocomputing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neucom

Event-triggered impulsive control design for synchronization of inertial neural networks with time delays



^a Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641 046, Tamilnadu, India

^b Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, UAE University, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates

^c Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore 641 014, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 September 2021 Revised 8 December 2021 Accepted 3 February 2022 Available online 9 February 2022 Communicated by Zidong Wang

Keywords: Inertial neural networks Time delay Event-triggered impulsive control Synchronization

1. Introduction

The dynamical behaviours of neural networks (NNs) with inertia, i.e. inertial neural networks (INNs), have been extensively studied so far and many attractive results on the asymptotic and exponential stability of equilibrium for delayed INNs have been obtained. The synchronization problem of inertial delayed neural networks has become a prominent topic in recent years. Many potential applications for synchronization exist, including secure communications [1,2], information science, and image encryption [3,4]. With increased interest in INNs, many researchers are investigating the synchronization problem of delayed INNs. Zhang and Cao [5] investigated the finite-time synchronization of delayed INNs by using integral inequality method. In [6], global exponential stabilization and lag-synchronization of the delayed INNs are considered. By utilizing matrix measure and Halanay inequalities, some sufficient conditions for the stability and synchronization results are derived for a class of delayed memristive INNs [7], inertial bidirectional associative memory NNs [8]. In [2], a class of INNs with multi-proportional delays was considered. First, the original INNs with multi-proportional delays can be expressed as a firstorder differential equation by designing a suitable variable substitution. Second, certain new and effective criteria for achieving

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the synchronization problem of inertial neural networks (INNs) with time delays by virtue of event-triggered (E-T) impulsive control, in which a Lyapunov function based E-T mechanism is used to determine impulsive instants. The synchronization analysis of INNs through E-T impulsive control technique unlike time-triggered impulsive control, which would be activated when certain well-designed conditions exist, E-T impulsive control is only allowed when certain well-defined events occur. Besides that, control input is only required at triggered instants and also no control input is required for two triggered instants in a sequence. Considering the E-T mechanism, the synchronization analysis of the INNs is discussed by reduced and non-reduced order approaches and constructing the suitable Lyapunov functionals. Finally, two simulation results are provided to illustrate the efficacy of the theoretical results. © 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

finite-time and fixed-time synchronization of the INNs are established by using Lyapunov functionals and analytical techniques. in connection with unique control algorithms. Tang and Jian [9] investigated the exponential synchronization of INNs with discrete and finite distributed time-varying delays through periodically intermittent control. Although the switching speed of the power amplifiers is finite, time delays in neural systems are common when NNs are used in embedded systems, which affects the dynamical behaviors of NNs [1,2,4,9,10]. Therefore, it is required to investigate the synchronization of time-delayed INNs. Meanwhile, several control systems, including sliding mode control [11], adaptive control [12,13], feedback control [14], sampleddata control [15,16], pinning control [17,18], periodically intermittent control [9], impulsive control [19,20], event-triggered control (E-TC) [21,22], have been developed to investigate synchronization and stability problems.

Impulsive control has received a lot of interest because of its many applications in real-world networks. The convergence rate of the systems may become quicker or slower, or even nonconvergent, if the state of nodes is subject to instantaneous change at certain impulsive instants [23]. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the role of impulsive control in the synchronization and stability of the dynamical systems. Many studies are currently being researched on the impact of time-triggered (T-T) impulsive control on neural networks. But the working period of impulsive controller cannot be changed until controller is formatted. That







^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* rakkigru@gmail.com (R. Rakkiyappan).

is, the impulsive instants are pre-designed, making impulsive instants stationary [24-26]. In addition, the memristor based delayed NNs was explored by impulsive controller in [24], where the impulsive instants cannot be changed until the controller is formatted. In current technological advancements, the selection of a controllers such as sampled data control [27,28], H_{∞} control [29], optimum control [30], sliding mode control [31], impulsive control [32], has a significant impact on the output of the system. There are two broad groups of state feedback control techniques for synchronization that have been studied thus far. One is continuous or piecewise continuous control, for example, sampled data control [27], optimum control [30], or sliding mode control [31], requires the controller to react as the state error changes. Moreover, continuous controller upgrades, would require a large amount of power. It's possible that the other, which is discontinuous, can aid with issues like impulse control. Furthermore, the impulsive control technique has sparked the interest of many scholars, because of its applications in finance, biological models, and medicine. It is a discontinuous control approach with a range of benefits over continuous control (like state-feedback control) systems, such as high reliability, efficiency, easy installation, and minimal maintenance costs. In general, the sample period of a time-triggered control (T-TC) cannot be set too high in order to ensure that delayed NNs are synchronized. However, if delayed NNs are in perfect working order to be dependable, a shorter sampling interval may result in resource waste. Furthermore, on a network channel with limited bandwidth, the T-TC technique dramatically increases the likelihood of packet waiting and collision. Thus, researchers have devised a control that is triggered by events in order to overcome the limitations imposed by existing T-TC techniques. This control is called E-TC. Unlike T-TC, the E-T mechanism has proven significant benefits in reducing controller update times in order to achieve the desired results [33–39]. For instance, in [36], the synchronization issue of delayed switched NNs with communication delays has been researched by E-TC. It significantly reduces the number of control updates required for coupled switched NNs synchronization tasks involving embedded microprocessors with limited on-board resources. To reduce the control updates a distributed E-TC technique under the periodic sampling has been introduced in [40] for leader less synchronization of delayed coupled NNs. In [41], secure communication based quantized synchronization results has been given for master-slave NNs under the E-TC. An E-TC strategy is a type of control application approach that is inserted between both the sampler and the controller by an E-T strategy that has been predesigned. When using E-TC, sampling is only accomplished when the statedependent error reaches a tolerable level of severity. When compared to a T-TC procedure, the E-TC method has a lower likelihood of redundant information transfer. E-TC laws govern whether sampling should be performed rapidly or slowly, and whether sampling information should be conveyed for control update purposes. The approach was then applied to networks, and event-triggered impulsive control (E-TIC) approaches were presented as a result of the application. Whenever the E-TIC strategy is violated, the combination of delayed impulsive control and the E-T mechanism produces E-T instants, and delayed impulsive control is only applied at these E-T instants. As a result, in order to increase the performance of systems under E-TC, it is necessary to improve the approach used to determine whether an event has occurred. From the perspective of application, the E-TIC technique is appropriate, and the control approach will effectively increase the utilization of resources in systems with restricted bandwidth. Following up on the aforementioned investigations, the authors discuss INNs with E-TIC, and thoroughly investigate the synchronization criteria of this network. As far as the authors are aware, few literature studies have devoted to the synchronization problem for delayed INNs with both order reduced and non reduced method through the E-TIC scheme, which is another motivation of our research. Motivated by the preceding discussions, our paper's main conclusions are summarized below:

- 1. Up until now, no related synchronization results have been reported for delayed INNs by using reduced and non-reduced order method. Therefore, to shorten such a gap, we will present several new results guaranteeing synchronization of delayed INNs in this brief. To solve this problem an E-TIC approach is proposed. The NNs in this paper are with inertial term, which extend the earlier publications [34–40] without inertial term.
- 2. Several researchers in [1,5,6,9] apply the reduced-order method and in [42,43] apply the non reduced-order method to study the dynamical behavior of delayed INNs, as we known, the INNs system with reduced-order method may rigor in real world applications. Different from those works, in this brief learn about the two methods namely reduced order and non- reduced order (Direct method) methods are clearly described, as well as how their shortcomings are exposed and how non reduced order method is optimal to meet them.
- 3. Besides, by designing a E-TIC scheme, the leader–follower synchronization of the addressed delayed INNs is studied and some effective conditions are given. It is important to note that the dynamic behaviors of a class of INNs are analyzed in this paper by employing some new Lyapunov functions without using the variable transformation, this differs totally from the classical reduced order method.

Notations: Let \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^n denote the set of real numbers and real *n*-dimensional space equipped with the Euclidean norm $|| \cdot ||$. $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ the set of all real $n \times m$ matrices. For any matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, M^T represents the transpose of a square matrix M and the symbol $\stackrel{l}{\Rightarrow}$ denotes the transpose of a block matrices and $diag\{\ldots\}$ express a diagonal matrix. 0 and *I* are respectively denotes the zero and identity matrix with appropriate dimension. $\Gamma = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

2. Preliminaries and model description

Graph Theory: Let $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{A})$ be an *N*-order digraph, where the edge set is \mathbb{E} , and the node set is \mathbb{V} . The ordered pair of node (i,j) represents the directed edge $\mathscr{E}_{ij} \in \mathbb{E}$ in digraph \mathbb{G} , where node *j* is a node *i*'s neighbor. Denote the set of neighbors of node *i* as $\Xi_i = \{j \in \mathbb{V} | \mathscr{E}_{ij} \in \mathbb{E}\}$. The definitions of matrices $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{L}$ and \mathbb{H} can be found in [44] and thus are omitted here.

Consider the INNs consisting of N identical nodes with the dynamics of the i^{th} node is described by the following second-order differential equation:

$$\frac{d^{2}x_{i}(t)}{dt^{2}} = -A\frac{dx_{i}(t)}{dt} - Bx_{i}(t) + Cf(x_{i}(t)) + Df(x_{i}(t-\varphi)) + I(t) + v_{i}(t), \quad i \in \Gamma.$$
(1)

where $d^2 x_i(t)/dt^2$ is called as the inertial term of the INNs (1); $x_i(t) = (x_{i1}(t), \ldots, x_{in}(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector state of the i^{th} node at time $t; A = diag\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}, B = diag\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ are constant matrices with $a_p, b_p > 0; C = (c_{pq})_{n \times n}$ and $D = (d_{pq})_{n \times n}, \quad p, q = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ denote the connection weight matrix and time delayed connection weight matrix respectively; The nonlinear function $f(x_i(t)) = (f(x_{i1}(t)), \ldots, f(x_{in}(t)))^T$ and $f(x_i(t - \varphi)) = (f(x_{i1}(t - \varphi)), \ldots, f(x_{in}(t - \varphi)))^T$ are the activations function for the INNs (1); φ is the constant time delay; $I(t) = (I_1(t), \ldots, I_n(t))^T$ is the input vector; $v_i(t)$ represents the control input to realize leader-following synchronization to be defined later. S. Shanmugasundaram, K. Udhayakumar, D. Gunasekaran et al.

The initial conditions of the INNs(1) is represented as follows:

$$x_i(r) = v_i(r), \quad \frac{dx_i(r)}{ds} = \varrho_i(r), \quad -\varphi \leqslant r \leqslant 0,$$

where $v_i(r), \varrho_i(r) \in \mathscr{PC}^1([-\varphi, 0], \mathbb{R}^n), \mathscr{PC}^1([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of functions which are continuously differentiable defined on $[-\varphi, 0]$. To continue, consider the following assumptions, definitions, and lemmas.

Assumption 2.1. The activation functions $f_p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ in (1) satisfy Lipschitz condition, that is there exists a constants $F_p > 0$ for all $p = 1, ..., n, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f_p(u) - f_p(v)| \leq F_p |u - v|$$

Definition 2.2. [45] Suppose that $\mathscr{V} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is locally Lipschitz function, the upper right-hand Dini derivative of \mathscr{V} along a non-linear impulsive delay system is represented by

$$D^+ \mathscr{V}[g] = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{h} [\mathscr{V}(\theta + rg) - \mathscr{V}(\theta)].$$

Lemma 2.3. [45] For the impulsive system with time delay $\dot{\theta}(t) = f(\theta_t), t \ge t_0, t \ne t_n, \theta(t) = g_n(\theta(t^-)), t = t_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \theta - t_0 = \phi$ with E-T mechanism $t_n = \inf \{t > t_{n-1} : \Omega(t, \theta(t)) \ge 0\}$ the Lipschitz function $\mathscr{V} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and there exist constants $c > 0, a_n > 0, d_n > 0, \eta > 0, \gamma > 0$, with $a_n \in (0, \eta], n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, (a) $\mathscr{V}(g_n(\theta)) \le e^{-d_n} \mathscr{V}(\theta), \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; (b) $D^+ \mathscr{V}[f] \le c \mathscr{V}(\theta(t))$; wherever $e^{\gamma s - \eta} \mathscr{V}(\theta(t+s)) \le \mathscr{V}(\theta(t)), \forall s \in [-\varphi, 0], t \ne t_n$, where $\theta(t)$ represents the solution of the impulsive model; (c) Sequence $\{a_n\}$ in E-T mechanism satisfies $a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{l} (a_{n-k} - d_{n-k}) \le \eta, \forall l \in \mathbb{S}_n, \forall n \ge 2$, where $\mathbb{S}_n = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2, n - 1$. Then the delayed impulsive control model does not reveal Zeno behavior (ZB) under E-T mechanism. Moreover, the triggered impulsive sequence $\{t_n\}$ satisfies

$$t_n-t_{n-1} \geq \frac{a_n}{\gamma+c}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\mathscr{V}(\theta(t))$ is a positive definite and radially unbounded function under the conditions of Lemma 2.3. Then, for any constant $\gamma > 0$ and triggering parameters $a_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{m} a_n \to +\infty$ as $m \to +\infty$ the system (1) is globally exponentially stable under E-T mechanism:

$$t_n = \inf \left\{ t > t_{n-1} : \Omega(t, \theta(t)) \ge 0 \right\}$$
(2)

with
$$\begin{split} \Omega(t,\theta(t)) &= \mathscr{V}(\theta(t)) - \max\left\{ e^{\gamma t_{n-1}} \mathscr{V}(\theta(t_{n-1})), e^{\gamma t_0} \mathscr{V}_0 \right\} e^{a_n - \gamma t}, \\ \text{where } \mathscr{V}_0 &= \sup\left\{ \mathscr{V}(\theta(t_0 + s)), s \in [-\tau, 0] \right\}, \mathscr{V}(\theta(t)) \text{ and } \mathscr{V}(\theta(t_{n-1})) \\ \text{denote the Lyapunov functions.} \end{split}$$

In this paper, we will make leader-following synchronization of delayed INNs that synchronize by the suitable designed controller. Therefore, take INNs (1) as the followers and consider the leader of the delayed INNs (1) is represented as follows:

$$\frac{d^2s(t)}{dt^2} = -A\frac{ds(t)}{dt} - Bs(t) + Cf(s(t)) + Df(s(t-\varphi)) + I(t).$$
(3)

in which $s(t) = (s_1(t), s_2(t), \dots, s_n(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denotes the vector state of the leader node and the remaining parameters and functions are the same as those in (1). The initial values of the INNs (3) is represented as follows:

$$s(r) = \delta(r), \ \frac{ds(r)}{ds} = \theta(r), \ -\varphi \leqslant r \leqslant 0,$$

where $\delta(r), \theta(r) \in \mathscr{PC}^1([-\varphi, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$. Now letting the suitable variable transformation $y_i(t) = (dx_i(t)/dt) + x_i(t)$.for $i \in \Gamma$ the INNs (1) can be rewritten into the following first order equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_{i}(t)}{dt} = -x_{i}(t) + y_{i}(t) + u_{i}(t), \\ \frac{dy_{i}(t)}{dt} = \alpha x_{i}(t) - \beta y_{i}(t) + Cf(x_{i}(t)) + Df(x_{i}(t - \varphi)) + I(t) + v_{i}(t), i \in \Gamma, \end{cases}$$
(4)

where $\alpha = A - B - I$, $\beta = A - I$, $\Gamma = \{1, ..., N\}$ and letting z(t) = (ds(t)/dt) + s(t) then the INNs (3) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds(t)}{dt} = -s(t) + z(t), \\ \frac{dz(t)}{dt} = \alpha s(t) - \beta z(t) + Cf(s(t)) + Df(s(t-\varphi)) + I(t). \end{cases}$$
(5)

Define the synchronization error $e_{1i}(t) = x_i(t) - s(t), e_{2i}(t) = y_i(t) - z(t)$ for $i \in \Gamma$. Therefore, the error dynamics of the i^{th} node can be described as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{de_{1i}(t)}{dt} = -e_{1i}(t) + e_{2i}(t) + u_i(t), \\ \frac{de_{2i}(t)}{dt} = \alpha e_{1i}(t) - \beta e_{2i}(t) + Cf(e_{1i}(t)) + Df(e_{1i}(t-\varphi)) + v_i(t), \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $f(e_{1i}(t)) = f(x_i(t)) - f(s(t)), f(e_{2i}(t)) = f(y_i(t)) - f(z(t)).$

It is obvious that the synchronization problem of leader (3) and follower (1) is equivalent to the synchronization between (5) and (4). To realize the leader following synchronization between (1) and (3), we design the following E-T impulsive control:

$$\begin{cases} u_i(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \overline{K}_i \overline{E}_i(t) \delta(t - t_n), \\ v_i(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \widetilde{K}_i \widetilde{E}_i(t) \delta(t - t_n), \end{cases}$$
(7)

where, $\overline{E}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \hat{a}_{ij} (x_j(t) - x_i(t)) + \hat{b}_i(s(t) - x_i(t)), \quad \widetilde{E}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \hat{a}_{ij} (y_j(t) - y_i(t)) + \hat{b}_i(z(t) - y_i(t)), \ \overline{K}_i > 0 \ \text{and} \ \widetilde{K}_i > 0 \ \text{denotes}$ the control gain of the *i*th follower node dynamics to be designed, \hat{a}_{ij} are the elements of weighted adjacency matrix \mathbb{A} of the directed graph \mathbb{G} , \hat{b}_i are the elements of leader adjacency matrix \mathbb{B} of the directed graph \mathbb{G} , the Dirac delta function is $\delta(.)$ and the E-T impulsive sequence is denoted by $\{t_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. Then, the equivalent vector form of the system (6) can be written s

$$\frac{de_1(t)}{dt} = -(I_N \otimes I_n)e_1(t) + (I_N \otimes I_n)e_2(t),$$

$$\frac{de_2(t)}{dt} = (I_N \otimes \alpha)e_1(t) - (I_N \otimes \beta)e_2(t)$$

$$+(I_N \otimes C)f(e_1(t)) + (I_N \otimes D)f(e_1(t-\varphi)), t \neq t_n, \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta e_1(t) = -(\overline{K} \mathbb{H} \otimes I_n)e_1(t^-)$$

$$\left(\Delta e_2(t) = -\left(\widetilde{K}\mathbb{H}\otimes I_n\right)e_2(t^-), t = t_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\right)$$

where $e_1(t) = (e_{11}(t), e_{12}(t), \dots, e_{1N}(t))^T, e_2(t) = (e_{21}(t), e_{22}(t), \dots, e_{2N}(t))^T, \Delta e_h(t) = e_h(t) - e_h(t^-), h = 1, 2, \overline{K} = diag\{\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2, \dots, \bar{k}_N\}$ and $\widetilde{K} = diag\{\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2, \dots, \bar{k}_N\}$ to be designed.

Remark 2.5. The structure of an impulse control scheme is simple, and it just needs discrete control to produce the required result, recently E-TIC has gotten a lot of attention as a mixture of impulsive control and E-TC. By incorporating E-T mechanism into impulse control, E-T impulse governs the system states by instantly modifying the states at specific times, which are estimated by an well-designed E-T mechanism.

3. Main results

In this section, we are going to study the leader-following synchronization of reduced and non-reduced order INNs by using E-TIC. The synchronization criteria is given by the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that there exists constant matrices $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, and constants $\gamma > 0, c, a, \vartheta, n \times n$ positive symmetric matrices $P_1, P_2, n \times n$ diagonal matrices Q_1, Q_2 and positive diagonal matrices $\overline{K}, \widetilde{K}$ such that $FQ_1F < \beta P_1, FQ_2F < \beta P_2$ and

$$\wedge = \begin{bmatrix} I_N \otimes (2P_1 - P_2\beta - \beta^T P_2 - l_1 P_2) & P_2 C & P_2 D & P_1 \alpha^T + P_2 \alpha \\ \vdots & & -Q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & -Q_2 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \Theta \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$
(9)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -e^{-a}I_N & I_N - \mathbb{H}^T\overline{K} \\ \Leftrightarrow & -I_N \end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -e^{-a}I_N & I_N - \mathbb{H}^T \widetilde{K} \\ \Leftrightarrow & -I_N \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(11)

where $\Theta = I_N \otimes (-2P_1 + FQ_1F + \vartheta e^{\gamma \varphi + \eta}P_2 - l_1P_1), F = \text{diag}\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}.$ Then the leader-following synchronization problem of INN (1) and 3 is solved under the control gains \overline{K} , \widetilde{K} and E-T mechanism $t_n = \inf\{t > t_{n-1} : \Omega(t, e(t)) \ge 0\}$ with $\Omega(t, e(t)) = e_1^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t) + e_2^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_2)e_2(t) - \max\{e^{\gamma t_{n-1}}e_1^T(t_{n-1})(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t_{n-1}), e^{\gamma t_0}V_0\}e^{a - \gamma t}$, where $V_0 = \sup\{e_1^T(t_0 + s)(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t_0 + s) + e_2^T(t_0 + s)(I_N \otimes P_2)e_2(t_0 + s), s \in [\varphi, 0]\}.$

Proof: Let us consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$\mathscr{V}(t) = \mathscr{V}_1(t) + \mathscr{V}_2(t), \tag{12}$$

where $\mathscr{V}_1(t) = e_1^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t), \mathscr{V}_2(t) = e_2^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_2)e_2(t).$ Find the derivative of $\mathscr{V}(t)$ along the solutions of (8)

$$\begin{split} D^{+} \mathscr{V}_{1}(t) &\leqslant \quad 2e_{1}^{t}(t)(I_{N} \otimes P_{1})\hat{e}_{1}(t), \\ &= \quad 2e_{1}^{T}(t)(I_{N} \otimes P_{1})[-(I_{N} \otimes I_{n})e_{1}(t) + (I_{N} \otimes I_{n})e_{2}(t)], \\ &= \quad e_{1}^{T}(t)[I_{N} \otimes 2P_{1}]e_{1}(t) + e_{1}^{T}(t) \\ & \left[(I_{N} \otimes 2P_{1}]e_{2}(t).D^{+}\mathscr{V}_{2}(t) \\ &\qquad \leqslant 2e_{2}^{T}(t)(I_{N} \otimes P_{2})\hat{e}_{2}(t), = e_{2}^{T}(t)(I_{N} \otimes 2P_{2})[(I_{N} \otimes \alpha)e_{1}(t) \\ &-(I_{N} \otimes \beta)e_{2}(t) + (I_{N} \otimes C)f(e_{1}(t)) + (I_{N} \otimes D)f(e_{1}(t - \varphi(t)))], \end{split}$$

$$= e_{2}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes (P_{2}\alpha + \alpha^{T}P_{2})]e_{1}(t) \\ -e_{2}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes (P_{2}\beta + \beta^{T}P_{2})]e_{2}(t) \\ +e_{1}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes (FQ_{1}F)]e_{1}(t) + e_{2}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes (P_{2}DQ_{2}^{-1}D^{T}P_{2})]e_{2}(t) \\ e_{1}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes (2P_{1} + FQ_{1}F)]e_{1}(t) \\ +e_{1}^{T}(t - \phi) [I_{N} \otimes FQ_{2}F]e_{1}(t - \phi) \\ +e_{1}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes 2P_{1}]e_{2}(t) + e_{2}^{T}(t) [I_{N} \otimes (P_{2}\alpha + \alpha^{T}P_{2})]e_{1}(t).$$

When $e_1^T(t-\varphi)[I_N \otimes P_1]e_1(t-\varphi) \leq e^{\gamma\varphi+\eta}e_1^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t)$, where $\eta \geq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^l (a_{n-k} - d_{n-k})$ and $FQ_2F < \vartheta P_2, FQ_1F < \vartheta P_1$, by (9) it is not difficult to compute that

$$\begin{split} D^{+}\mathscr{V}(t) \leqslant & e_{1}^{T}(t)[I_{N}\otimes(2P_{1}+FQ_{1}F+\vartheta e^{\gamma\varphi+\eta}P_{2})]e_{1}(t) \\ & +e_{2}^{T}(t)\Big[I_{N}\otimes\Big(-P_{2}\beta-\beta^{T}P_{2}+P_{2}CQ_{1}^{-1}C^{T}P_{2}+P_{2}DQ_{2}^{-1}D^{T}P_{2}\Big)\Big]e_{2}(t) \\ & +e_{1}^{T}(t)[I_{N}\otimes2P_{1}]e_{2}(t)+e_{2}^{T}(t)[I_{N}\otimes(P_{2}\alpha+\alpha^{T}P_{2})]e_{1}(t), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= e_1^T(t)[I_N \otimes (2P_1 + FQ_1F + \vartheta e^{\eta + \eta}P_2 - l_1P_1)]e_1(t) + e_1^T(t)[I_N \otimes l_1P_1]e_1(t) \\ &+ e_2^T(t) \Big[I_N \otimes \Big(-P_2\beta - \beta^T P_2 + P_2CQ_1^{-1}C^T P_2 + P_2DQ_2^{-1}D^T P_2 - l_1P_2 \Big) \Big]e_2(t) \\ &+ e_2^T(t)[I_N \otimes l_1P_2]e_2(t) + e_1^T(t)[I_N \otimes 2P_1]e_2(t) + e_2^T(t)[I_N \otimes (P_2\alpha + \alpha^T P_2)]e_1(t), \\ &= \xi^T(t) \wedge \xi(t) + l_1 \Big[e_1^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t) + e_2^T(t)(I_N \otimes P_2)e_2(t) \Big] \\ &\leqslant l_1 \mathscr{V}(t), t \in [t_{n-1}, t_n), n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \end{split}$$

Consequently from Eqs. (11) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-e^{-a}I_N+\left(I_N-\overline{K}\mathbb{H}\right)^T\left(I_N-\overline{K}\mathbb{H}\right)\leqslant \mathbf{0},\\ &-e^{-a}I_N+\left(I_N-\widetilde{K}\mathbb{H}\right)^T\left(I_N-\widetilde{K}\mathbb{H}\right)\leqslant \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{V}(t_n) &= e_1^{\mathsf{T}}(t_n)(I_N \otimes P_1)e_1(t_n) + e_2^{\mathsf{T}}(t)(I_N \otimes P_2)e_2(t_n), \\ &\leqslant e_1^{\mathsf{T}}(t_n^-)\left[\left(I_N - \overline{K} \mathbb{H}\right) \otimes I_n\right]^{\mathsf{T}}(I_N \otimes P_1)\left[\left(I_N - \overline{K} \mathbb{H}\right) \otimes I_n\right]e_1(t_n^-) \\ &\quad + e_2^{\mathsf{T}}(t_n^-)\left[\left(I_N - \widetilde{K} \mathbb{H}\right) \otimes I_n\right]^{\mathsf{T}}(I_N \otimes P_2)\left[\left(I_N - \widetilde{K} \mathbb{H}\right) \otimes I_n\right]e_2(t_n^-), \\ &= e_1^{\mathsf{T}}(t_n^-)\left[\left(\left(I_N - \overline{K} \mathbb{H}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}(I_N - \overline{K} \mathbb{H})\right) \otimes P_1\right]e_1(t_n^-) \\ &\quad + e_2^{\mathsf{T}}(t_n^-)\left[\left(\left(I_N - \widetilde{K} \mathbb{H}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\left(I_N - \widetilde{K} \mathbb{H}\right)\right) \otimes P_2\right]e_2(t_n^-), \\ &\leqslant e^{-a}\mathscr{V}(t_n^-). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that all the required conditions in Lemma (2.3)-(2.4) are satisfied, then the synchronization problem of INNs (1) and (3) is solved. The proof is now completed.

Remark 3.2. The first-order differential Eqs. (4) and (5) are produced via the variable substitutions $y_i(t) = (dx_i(t)/dt) + x_i(t)$ and z(t) = (ds(t)/dt) + s(t) have double the dimension of the given second-order INNs (1) and (3) this enormously increase the difficulties of analytical calculations and the complexity of the obtained result. Moreover, designing a second-order neural network using control inputs other than a reduced order system may be more significant and helpful. Therefore, to avoid to overcome these problems rising from the reduced order variable substitutions, a new method is directly investigating the synchronization problems of the INNs. Next we are going to investigate the leader-following synchronization of non-reduced order INNs by using E-TIC.

To synchronize the INNs (1) with the leader node (3) the E-TIC input is designed as follows:

$$u_i(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \tilde{\tilde{k}}_i g_i(t) \delta(t - t_n),$$
(13)

where $g_i(t) = \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^N \hat{a}_{ij}(x_j(t) - x_i(t)) + \hat{b}_i(s(t) - x_i(t)), \tilde{\tilde{k}}_i$ denotes the

control gain of the *i*th followers node which is to be design, \hat{a}_{ij} are the elements of weighted adjacency matrix \mathbb{A} of the directed graph \mathbb{G} , \hat{b}_i are the elements of leader adjacency matrix \mathbb{B} of the directed graph \mathbb{G} , $\delta(\cdot)$ denotes Dirac delta function and event-based impulse sequence is $\{t_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. The synchronization error between INNs (1) and leader node (3) is described as the following second order differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2 e_i(t)}{dt^2} = -A \frac{de_i(t)}{dt} - Be_i(t) + Cf(e_i(t)) + Df(e_i(t-\varphi)) + u_i(t).$$
(14)

Thus, under the E-TIC input (13), the compact form of the error (16) can be written as

S. Shanmugasundaram, K. Udhayakumar, D. Gunasekaran et al.

$$\begin{split} \ddot{e}(t) &= -(I_N \otimes A)\dot{e}(t) - (I_N \otimes B)e(t) + (I_N \otimes C)f(e(t)) \\ &+ (I_N \otimes D)f(e(t - \varphi)), \end{split}$$
(15)
$$\Delta e(t) &= -\left(\tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \otimes I_n\right)e(t^-), t = t_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{split}$$

where $\Delta e(t) = e(t) - e(t^{-}), \tilde{\tilde{K}} = diag\{\tilde{\tilde{k}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{k}}_N\}$ to be designed.

In order to investigate our synchronization results for nonreduced order INNs, the following notations are given.

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{P} &= \alpha \gamma - A \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |C| + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |D|, \\ \mathfrak{Q} &= B \alpha \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 (|C|F + |D|F) + (|C|F + |D|F)|\alpha \gamma|, \\ \mathfrak{R} &= \omega + \gamma^2 - B \alpha^2 - A \alpha \gamma, \end{split}$$

where, α, γ, ω are some non-zero constants.

Assumption 3.3. There exist some non-zero constants α, γ, ω such that the conditions $(\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{R}/4\mathfrak{P} - \bar{l}_1\omega/2) < 0, (1/4\mathfrak{P} - \bar{l}_1/2) < 0, \mathfrak{R} - \gamma < 0, 2\mathfrak{P} - \alpha < 0$ are satisfied.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that the Assumptions (2.1) and (3.3) hold. Then there exists non-zero constants $\alpha, \gamma, \omega, \bar{l}_1$ and $N \times N$ positive diagonal matrices \tilde{K} such that $\left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \alpha I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \alpha I_n \right]$ $/2 < 0, \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \alpha I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \gamma I_n \right] < 0$ and $\left[\begin{array}{c} -e^{-a}I_N & I_N - \mathbb{H}^T \tilde{K} \\ * & -I_N \end{array} \right] < 0.$ (16)

Therefore, the synchronization problem of INNs (1) and (3) is obtained under the gain matrix $\tilde{\tilde{K}} = \text{diag}\{\tilde{\tilde{k}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{k}}_N\}$.

Proof. Construct the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$\mathscr{V}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega e_i^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha \dot{e}_i(t) + \gamma e_i(t))^2.$$

Calculating the derivatives of $\mathscr{V}(t)$ along the solutions of (14)

$$D^{+}\mathscr{V}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega e_i(t) \dot{e}_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha \dot{e}_i(t) + \gamma e_i(t))(\alpha \ddot{e}_i(t) + \gamma \dot{e}_i(t)),$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\alpha^{2} \dot{e}_{i}(t) \ddot{e}_{i}(t) + \alpha \gamma \dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + \alpha \gamma e_{i}(t) \ddot{e}_{i}(t) + \gamma^{2} e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) \right], \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma^{2} e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha^{2} \dot{e}_{i}(t) + \alpha \gamma e_{i}(t) \right) \\ &\left[-A \dot{e}_{i}(t) - B e_{i}(t) + C f(e_{i}(t) + D f(e_{i}(t-\varphi))) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha \gamma \dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma^{2} e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ -A \alpha^{2} \dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) - B \alpha^{2} e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) + C \alpha^{2} \dot{e}_{i}(t) f(e_{i}(t)) + D \alpha^{2} \dot{e}_{i}(t) f(e_{i}(t-\varphi)) \right] \\ &- A \alpha \gamma e_{i}(t) \dot{e}(t) - B \alpha \gamma e_{i}^{2}(t) + C \alpha \gamma e_{i}(t) f(e_{i}(t)) + D \alpha \gamma e_{i}(t) f(e_{i}(t-\varphi)) \right\} \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha \gamma \dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t), \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha \gamma - A \alpha^{2}) \dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} (B \alpha \gamma) e_{i}^{2}(t) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\omega + \gamma^{2} - B \alpha^{2} - A \alpha \gamma) e_{i}(t) \dot{e}_{i}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha^{2} |\dot{e}_{i}(t)| + |\alpha \gamma||e_{i}(t)|) |C||f(e_{i}(t))| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha^{2} |\dot{e}_{i}(t)| + |\alpha \gamma||e_{i}(t)|) |D||f(e_{i}(t-\varphi))|. \end{split}$$

By Assumption (2.1) and
$$uv \leq \frac{1}{2}(u^{2} + v^{2})$$
, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha^{2}|\dot{e}_{i}(t)| + |\alpha\gamma||e_{i}(t)|)|C||f(e_{i}(t))| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N} \alpha^{2}|C|F(\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + e_{i}^{2}(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||C|F(e_{i}^{2}(t) + e_{i}^{2}(t)),$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha^{2}|C|F\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||C|Fe_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||C|Fe_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||C|Fe_{i}^{2}(t),$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha^{2}|C|F\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||C|F\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||C|F\dot{e}_{i}^$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha^{2} |\dot{e}_{i}(t)| + |\alpha\gamma||e_{i}(t)|) |D||f(e_{i}(t-\varphi))| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha^{2} |D|F(\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + e_{i}^{2}(t-\varphi)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||D|F(e_{i}^{2}(t) + e_{i}^{2}(t-\varphi)), \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha^{2} |D|F\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha^{2} |D|Fe_{i}^{2}(t-\varphi) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||D|Fe_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||D|Fe_{i}^{2}(t-\varphi), \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha^{2} |D|F\dot{e}_{i}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha\gamma||D|Fe_{i}^{2}(t-\varphi), \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha^{2}|D|F + |\alpha\gamma||D|F)e_{i}^{2}(t-\varphi).$$

$$(19)$$

From (17)-(19), we get

$$\begin{split} D^+\mathscr{V}(t) &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha \gamma - A \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |C| + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |D| \right) \dot{e}_i^2(t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \left(B \alpha \gamma + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha \gamma| |C|F + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha \gamma| |D|F + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |C|F \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |D|F + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha \gamma| |C|F + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha \gamma| |D|F \right) e_i^2(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\omega + \gamma^2 - B \alpha^2 - A \alpha \gamma \right) e_i(t) \dot{e}_i(t), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha \gamma - A \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |C| + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 |D| \right) \dot{e}_i^2(t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \left(B \alpha \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 (|C|F + |D|F) + (|C|F + |D|F) |\alpha \gamma| \right) e_i^2(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\omega + \gamma^2 - B \alpha^2 - A \alpha \gamma \right) e_i(t) \dot{e}_i(t), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \mathfrak{P} \dot{e}_i^2(t) + \mathfrak{Q} e_i^2(t) + \mathfrak{R} e_i(t) \dot{e}_i(t) \right\}, \\ &\leqslant \overline{l}_1 \mathscr{V}(t). \end{split}$$

As a result from (16), we have

$$-e^{a}I_{N} + \left(I_{N}\otimes\tilde{\tilde{K}}\mathbb{H}\right)^{T}\left(I_{N}\otimes\tilde{\tilde{K}}\mathbb{H}\right) \leqslant 0$$
(20)

when
$$t = t_n$$
,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{V}(t_n) &= \frac{1}{2}(I_N \otimes \omega I_n)e^2(t_n) + \frac{1}{2}((I_N \otimes \alpha I_n)\dot{e}_i(t_n) + (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n)e(t_n))^2, \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}(I_N \otimes \omega I_n) \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] e^2(t_n^-) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] e^2(t_n^-) \right]^2, \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] (I_N \otimes \omega I_n) e^2(t_n^-) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e^2(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{K} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N \otimes \omega I_n \right) e^2(t_n^-) + (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n)^2 e^2(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N \otimes \omega I_n \right) e^2(t_n^-) + \left(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n \right)^2 e^2(t_n^-) + (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n)^2 \dot{e}^2(t_n^-) \right) \\
&+ 2(I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) e^2(t_n^-) + \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right] \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) - 2(I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_N) \dot{e}(t_n^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_N) \dot{e}(t_n^-) \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_N) \dot{e}(t_n^-) \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_N) \dot{e}(t_N^-) (I_N \otimes \alpha I_N) \dot{e}(t_N^-) \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_N) \dot{e}(t_N^-) \left[(I_N \otimes \gamma I_N) \dot{e}(t_N^-) \left] \right], \\
&= (I_N \otimes \alpha I_N) \dot{e}(t_N^-) \left[$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -(I_N \otimes \alpha I_n)^2 \dot{e}^2(t_n^-) - 2(I_N \otimes \alpha I_n) \dot{e}(t_n^-)(I_N \otimes \gamma I_n) e(t_n^-)], \\ \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes I_n \right] \\ \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes A_n \right] \mathcal{V}(t_n^-) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \alpha I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \alpha I_n \right] \dot{e}^2(t_n^-) \\ - \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \alpha I_n \right] \left[\left(I_N - \tilde{\tilde{K}} \mathbb{H} \right) \otimes \gamma I_n \right] \dot{e}(t_n^-) e(t_n) \\ \leqslant \qquad e^{-a} \mathcal{V}(t_n^-). \end{cases}$$

Then it can be conclude that all the required conditions in Lemma (2.3)-(2.4) are satisfied then the synchronization problem of INNs (1) and (3) is obtained and the proof is completed. \Box

Remark 3.5. The novelty and technical difficulty of this paper can be illustrated as follows:

- It is proposed to use an E-TIC design to accomplish synchronization in a network of INNs that has a delay in time. We derive the primary results of this paper in terms of lower-dimensional linear matrix inequalities, which are used to accomplish leaderfollowing synchronization of INNs with time delays, based on particular assumptions about node dynamics and graph theory ideas. One of the most significant contributions of this paper is the investigation of leader-following synchronization of INNs with E-TIC, which differs from the majority of previously published works in the field. The findings of this paper contribute to the improvement of previously published results in the field of leader-following synchronization of delayed INNs with other controllers.
- 2. In the E-TC scheme, sampling occurs only when the statedependent error reaches a tolerable level. When compared to the T-TC process, the E-TC method has a lower likelihood of redundant information transfer. The E-TC law specifies whether sampling shall be done rapidly or slowly, and whether sampling data must be transmitted for control updates. After then, the approach was applied to networks, and E-TC approaches were proposed.

3. When delayed impulsive control is used in connection with the E-T mechanism, E-T instants are produced when the E-TIC strategy is violated, and delayed impulsive control is only performed at the E-T instants in this design. As a result, in order to increase the performance of systems operating under E-TC conditions, the strategy must be modified in accordance with the E-T condition. From the perspective of application, the E-TIC technique is appropriate, and the control approach will effectively increase the utilization of resources in bandwidth-constrained environments.

4. Numerical simulations

2

In this section, we present two simulation results and their figures to illustrate the efficacy of the analytical solutions of this paper.

Example 4.1. In this example we consider the following isolated delayed INNs with two neurons:

$$\frac{d^2 s(t)}{dt^2} = -A \frac{ds(t)}{dt} - Bs(t) + Cf(s(t)) + Df(s(t - \varphi(t))) + I(t).$$
(21)

and the corresponding followers system is represented by the following second order equations with six nodes and each node has two neurons:

$$\frac{d^{2}x_{i}(t)}{dt^{2}} = -A\frac{dx_{i}(t)}{dt} - Bx_{i}(t) + Cf(x_{i}(t)) + Df(x_{i}(t - \varphi(t))) + I(t) + \nu_{i}(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, 4,$$
(22)

with the controllers to be designed as (4) and (5), where $x_i(t) = (x_{i1}(t), x_{i2}(t))^T, i = 1, ..., 6$.

The coefficient matrices are given as



Fig. 1. Network communication topology of Examples 4.1 and 4.2.



Fig. 2. State trajectories of leader and follower nodes and phase portrait graph of leader in Example 4.1.



Fig. 3. State trajectories of leader and following synchronization error between (21) and (22) in Example 4.1.

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1000.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} -0.75 & 2.3 \\ 1.8 & -0.25 \end{bmatrix},$ $D = \begin{bmatrix} -0.68 & 1.5 \\ -0.4 & -1.2 \end{bmatrix}.$

Also, $f(x_i(t)) = (\tanh(x_{i1}(t)), \tanh(x_{i2}(t)))^T, f(x_i(t - \varphi(t))) = (\tanh(x_{i1}(t - \varphi(t))), \tanh(x_{i2}(t - \varphi(t))))^T$, are the activations without and with time delays that satisfy the Assumption 2.1 with Lipschitz constant $F_i = 0.5$. The time varying delay $\varphi(t) = 3.3t$. The

network communication topology of the INNs (21) and (22) is given in Figure. 1 and the Laplacian and leader adjacency matrix of the corresponding network topology are obtained as:

Let us take the initial values $s(t_0) = (0.5, 1)^T$, $x_i(t_0) = i \times (3, 6)^T$, i = 1, ..., 6. Now we have to design the E-T mechanism to realize the leader–follower synchronization between (21) and (22). Choose the constant $\gamma = 0.08$, a = 0.2, $\beta = 8$ and c = 8. Then we are infer the LMI conditions in Theorem 3.1 has solution that are feasible and the impulsive gain matrix is $\overline{K} = \widetilde{K} = 0.53I_6$ and

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 6.7 & -0.02 \\ -0.02 & 6.3 \end{pmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.84 & 0.04 \\ 0.04 & 0.822 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the E-T mechanism, the leader-following synchronization of systems (21) and (22) can be achieved. Fig. 2 shown that the states of the one leader and six followers. Under the designed ET impulsive control strategy, each followers node follows the leader, as can be shown in Fig. 2. Under the same conditions the synchronization error between (21) and (22) can converges to zero, which is shown in Fig. 3. The triggered instants on the interval [0, 10] is shown in Fig. 4. **Example 4.2.** Consider the INNs (21) and (22) with the following coefficient matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -0.65 & 2.3 & 0.1 \\ 1.8 & -0.25 & 0.2 \\ 0 & -0.2 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -0.68 & 1.5 & -0.4 \\ -0.4 & -1.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also, $f(x_i(t)) = 0.5(|x_i(t) + 1| - |x_i(t) - 1|), f(x_i(t - \varphi(t))) = 0.5(|x_i(t - \varphi(t)) + 1| - |x_i(t - \varphi(t)) - 1|)$, are the monotonically increasing increasing activation functions for without and with time delays, which are monotone increasing and globally Lipschitz continuous. The time varying delay $\varphi(t) = 3.3t$. The network communication topology of the INNs (21) and (22) is given in Figure. 1 and the Laplacian and leader adjacency matrix of the corresponding network topology are obtained as in Example 1.



Fig. 4. Triggered instance of the INN (22) with a = 0.2, 0.8, 1.6, 2 in Example 4.1.



Fig. 5. State trajectories of leader and follower nodes and phase portrait graph of leader in Example 4.2.



Fig. 6. State trajectories of leader and following synchronization error between (21) and (22) in Example 4.2.



Fig. 7. Triggered instance of the INN (22) in Examples 4.1 and 4.2.

Let us take the initial values $s(t_0) = (1,2,3)^T$, $x_i(t_0) = i \times (3,6,3)^T$, i = 1,...,6. Now we have to design the E-T mechanism to realize the leader–follower synchronization between (21) and (22). Choose the constant c = 8.2, $\gamma = 0.072$, a = 0.381 and $\beta = 8.55$. Then we are infer the

LMI conditions in Theorem 3.1 has solution that are feasible and the impulsive gain matrix is $\overline{K} = \widetilde{K} = 0.48I_6$ and

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -0.02 & 0.4 \\ -0.02 & 6.1 & 0.3 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.74 & 0.04 & 0.5 \\ 0.04 & 0.822 & 0.3 \\ 0.04 & 0.7 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the E-T mechanism, the leader-following synchronization of systems (21) and (22) can be achieved. Fig. 5 shows the states of the one leader and six followers. Under the designed ET impulsive control technique, each followers node follows the leader, as can be shown in Fig. 5. Under the same conditions the synchronization error between (21) and (22) can converge to zero, which is shown in Fig. 6. The triggered instants of Examples 4.1 and 4.2 on the interval [0, 10] is shown in Fig. 7.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the synchronization analysis of INNs with constant time delays has been studied. Firstly, the INNs are taken with reduced order using some variable transformations and by using E-T mechanism with impulsive control mechanism the synchronization conditions are obtained for the addressed networks. Secondly, by constructing suitable Lyapunov functional candidate for non reduced order INNs to obtain some new leader-following synchronization criteria with the help of E-T mechanism. Finally, two numerical results are offered to highlight the usefulness of theoretical conclusions. Future work will focus on solving leaderfollowing fixed-time synchronization problem of discontinuous coupled inertial neural network with E-T mechanism and indefinite functionals.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

S. Shanmugasundaram: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. **K. Udhayakumar:** Conceptualization. **R. Rakkiyappan:** Conceptualization, Supervision.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgement

aaa

References

- [1] S. Lakshmanan, M. Prakash, C.P. Lim, R. Rakkiyappan, P. Balasubramaniam, S. Nahavandi, Synchronization of an Inertial Neural Network With Time-Varying Delays and Its Application to Secure Communication, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 29 (1) (2016) 195–207.
- [2] A.M. Alimi, C. Aouiti, E. Assali, Finite-time and fixed-time synchronization of a class of inertial neural networks with multi-proportional delays and its application to secure communication, Neurocomputing 332 (2019) 29–43.
- [3] C. Long, G. Zhang, Z. Zeng, J. Hu, Finite-time lag synchronization of inertial neural networks with mixed infinite time-varying delays and state-dependent switching, Neurocomputing 433 (2021) 50–58.
- [4] H. Li, C. Li, D. Ouyang, S.K. Nguang, Impulsive synchronization of unbounded delayed inertial neural networks with actuator saturation and sampled-data control and its application to image encryption, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 32 (4) (2020) 1460–1473.
- [5] Z. Zhang, J. Cao, Novel Finite-Time Synchronization Criteria for Inertial Neural Networks With Time Delays via Integral Inequality Method, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 30 (5) (2018) 1476–1485.
- [6] J. Shi, Z. Zeng, Global exponential stabilization and lag synchronization control of inertial neural networks with time delays, Neural Netw. 126 (2020) 11–20.
- [7] R. Rakkiyappan, S. Premalatha, A. Chandrasekar, J. Cao, Stability and synchronization analysis of inertial memristive neural networks with time delays, Cogn. Neurodynamics 10 (5) (2016) 437-451.
- [8] J. Cao, Y. Wan, Matrix measure strategies for stability and synchronization of inertial BAM neural network with time delays, Neural Netw. 53 (2014) 165– 172.
- [9] Q. Tang, J. Jian, Exponential synchronization of inertial neural networks with mixed time-varying delays via periodically intermittent control, Neurocomputing 338 (2019) 181–190.

- [10] M.S. Ali, G. Narayanan, V. Shekher, H. Alsulami, T. Saeed, Dynamic stability analysis of stochastic fractional-order memristor fuzzy BAM neural networks with delay and leakage terms, Appl. Math. Comput. 369 (2020) 124896.
- [11] C. Aouiti, Q. Hui, H. Jallouli, E. Moulay, Sliding mode control-based fixed-time stabilization and synchronization of inertial neural networks with timevarying delays, Neural. Comput. Appl. 33 (2021) 11555–11572.
- [12] X. Li, T. Huang, Adaptive synchronization for fuzzy inertial complex-valued neural networks with state-dependent coefficients and mixed delays, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 411 (2021) 174–189.
- [13] J. Yu, C. Hu, H. Jiang, L. Wang, Exponential and adaptive synchronization of inertial complex-valued neural networks: A non-reduced order and nonseparation approach, Neural Netw. 124 (2020) 50–59.
- [14] D. Lin, X. Chen, G. Yu, Z. Li, Y. Xia, Global exponential synchronization via nonlinear feedback control for delayed inertial memristor-based quaternionvalued neural networks with impulses, Appl. Math. Comput. 401 (2021) 126093.
- [15] Y. Cao, K. Udhayakumar, K.P. Veerakumari, R. Rakkiyappan, Memory sampled data control for switched-type neural networks and its application in image secure communications, Math Comput Simul. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.matcom.2021.03.021.
- [16] X. Zhong, Y. Gao, Synchronization of inertial neural networks with timevarying delays via quantized sampled-data control, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 32 (2021) 4916–4930.
- [17] T. Yu, H. Wang, J. Cao, Y. Yang, On impulsive synchronization control for coupled inertial neural networks with pinning control, Neural Process. Lett. 51 (2020) 2195–2210.
- [18] S. Dharani, R. Rakkiyappan, J.H. Park, Pinning sampled-data synchronization of coupled inertial neural networks with reaction-diffusion terms and timevarying delays, Neurocomputing 227 (2017) 101–107.
- [19] X. Li, R. Rakkiyappan, Impulsive controller design for exponential synchronization of chaotic neural networks with mixed delays, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 18 (2013) 1515–1523.
- [20] K. Udhayakumar, R. Rakkiyappan, F.A. Rihan, S. Banerjee, Projective multisynchronization of fractional-order complex-valued coupled multi-stable neural networks with impulsive control, Neurocomputing 467 (2022) 392– 405.
- [21] T. Fang, S. Jiao, D. Fu, J. Wang, Non-fragile extended dissipative synchronization of Markov jump inertial neural networks: An eventtriggered control strategy, Neurocomputing 460 (2021) 399–408.
- [22] W. Yao, C. Wang, Y. Sun, C. Zhou, H. Lin, Synchronization of inertial memristive neural networks with time-varying delays via static or dynamic eventtriggered control, Neurocomputing 404 (2020) 367–380.
- [23] J. Lu, D.W. Ho, J. Cao, J. Kurths, Exponential synchronization of linearly coupled neural networks with impulsive disturbances, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 22 (2) (2011) 329–336.
- [24] S. Duan, H. Wang, L. Wang, T. Huang, C. Li, Impulsive effects and stability analysis on memristive neural networks with variable delays, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 28 (2) (2016) 476–481.
- [25] W. Zhang, T. Huang, X. He, C. Li, Global exponential stability of inertial memristor-based neural networks with time-varying delays and impulses, Neural Netw. 95 (2017) 102–109.
- [26] Z. Tang, J.H. Park, J. Feng, Impulsive effects on quasi synchronization of neural networks with parameter mismatches and time varying delay, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 29 (4) (2017) 908–919.
- [27] Y. Zhang, Y. He, F. Long, Augmented two-side-looped Lyapunov functional for sampled-data-based synchronization of chaotic neural networks with actuator saturation, Neurocomputing 422 (2017) 287–294.
- [28] N. Ozcan, M.S. Ali, J. Yogambigai, Q. Zhu, S. Arik, Robust synchronization of uncertain Markovian jump complex dynamical networks with time-varying delays and reaction-diffusion terms via sampled-data control, J Franklin Inst 355 (3) (2018) 1192–1216.
- [29] M.S. Ali, R. Saravanakumar, Improved delay-dependent robust H_{_∞}) control of an uncertain stochastic system with interval time-varying and distributed delays, Chin. Phys. B 23 (12) (2014) 120201.
- [30] L. Kong, S. Zhang, X. Yu, Approximate optimal control for an uncertain robot based on adaptive dynamic programming, Neurocomputing 423 (2021) 308– 317.
- [31] N. Wang, F. Hao, Event-triggered sliding mode control with adaptive neural networks for uncertain nonlinear systems, Neurocomputing 436 (2021) 184– 197.
- [32] M.S. Ali, G. Narayanan, V. Shekher, A. Alsaedi, B. Ahmad, Global Mittag-Leffler stability analysis of impulsive fractional-order complex-valued BAM neural networks with time varying delays, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 83 (2020) 105088.
- [33] L. Li, W. Zou, S. Fei, Event-triggered synchronization of delayed neural networks with actuator saturation using quantized measurements, J. Franklin Inst. 356 (12) (2019) 6433–6459.
- [34] J. Chen, B. Chen, Z. Zeng, P. Jiang, Event-triggered synchronization strategy for multiple neural networks with time delay, IEEE Trans. Cybern. 50 (7) (2019) 3271–3280.
- [35] S. Senan, M.S. Ali, R. Vadivel, S. Arik, Decentralized event-triggered synchronization of uncertain Markovian jumping neutral-type neural networks with mixed delays, Neural Netw. 86 (2017) 32–41.
- [36] S. Wen, Z. Zeng, M.Z. Chen, T. Huang, Synchronization of switched neural networks with communication delays via the event-triggered control, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 28 (10) (2016) 2334–2343.

S. Shanmugasundaram, K. Udhayakumar, D. Gunasekaran et al.

- [37] K. Udhayakumar, F.A. Rihan, X. Li, R. Rakkiyappan, Quasi-bipartite synchronisation of multiple inertial signed delayed neural networks under distributed event-triggered impulsive control strategy, IET Control. Theory Appl. 15 (2021) 1615–1627.
- [38] A. Wang, T. Dong, X. Liao, Event-triggered synchronization strategy for complex dynamical networks with the Markovian switching topologies, Neural Netw. 74 (2016) 52–57.
- [39] X. Li, X. Yang, J. Cao, Event-triggered impulsive control for nonlinear delay systems, Automatica 117 (2020) 108981.
- [40] S. Lv, W. He, F. Qian, J. Cao, Leaderless synchronization of coupled neural networks with the event-triggered mechanism, Neural Netw. 105 (2018) 316– 327.
- [41] W. He, T. Luo, Y. Tang, W. Du, Y.C. Tian, F. Qian, Secure communication based on quantized synchronization of chaotic neural networks under an eventtriggered strategy, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. 31 (9) (2019) 3334– 3345.
- [42] C. Huang, B. Liu, New studies on dynamic analysis of inertial neural networks involving non-reduced order method, Neurocomputing 325 (2019) 283–287.
- [43] C. Huang, Exponential stability of inertial neural networks involving proportional delays and nonreduced order method, J. Exp. Theor. Artif. Intell. 32 (2020) 133–146.
- [44] C. Godsil, G.F. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [45] X. Li, X. Yang, J. Cao, Event-triggered impulsive control for nonlinear delay systems, Automatica 117 (2020) 108981.



S. Shanmugasundaram received the B.Sc. degree in Mathematics with Computer Application from Hindusthan college of Arts and Science, Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India in the year 2009, M.Sc. degree in Mathematics from Kongunadu Arts and Science (AUTONOMOUS), Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India in the year 2011 and M.Phil. degree in Mathematics from Government Arts college (AUTONOMOUS), Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India in the year 2013 respectively. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar

University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. His current research interests include Synchronization of Inertial Neural Networks.



D. Gunasekaran received his M.Sc. degree in Mathematics from CBM college of Arts and Science, Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. He was awarded the Doctor of Philosophy from the Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. He has a vast teaching experience from 1996. Currently, he is the Head of the Department at the PSG College of Arts and Science, Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. His current research interest include Graph Theory.



K. Udhayakumar received the B.Sc. degree in mathematics from the Government Arts College, Salem, India, in 2012, and the M.Sc. and M.Phil. degrees in mathematics from Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India, in 2014 and 2017, respectively, where he is currently pursuing thePh.D. degree in mathematics. His current research interests include fractional-order systems, time delay systems,and complex networks.



R. Rakkiyappan was an undergraduate student in the field of Mathematics during 1999-2002 from Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and Science. He is a postgraduate in Mathematics from PSG College of Arts and Science affiliated to Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India during 2002-2004. He was awarded the Doctor of Philosophy in 2011 from the Department of Mathematics, Gandhigram Rural University, Gandhigram, Tamil Nadu, India. His research interests are in the field of qualitative theory of stochastic and impulsive systems, Neural Networks, Complex systems, Fractional-order systems and Math-

ematical biology. He has published more than 130 papers in international journals. Now he is working as an Assistant Professor in Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore.

Neurocomputing 483 (2022) 322-332