

HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF FRACTIONAL STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH RANDOM IMPULSE

DUMITRU BALEANU, BANUPRIYA KANDASAMY, RAMKUMAR KASINATHAN,
 RAVIKUMAR KASINATHAN, AND VARSHINI SANDRASEKARAN

ABSTRACT. The goal of this study is to derive a class of random impulsive non-local fractional stochastic differential equations with finite delay that are of Caputo-type. Through certain constraints, the existence of the mild solution of the aforementioned system are acquired by Kransnoselskii's fixed point theorem. Furthermore through Ito isometry and Gronwall's inequality, the Hyers-Ulam stability of the reckoned system is evaluated using Lipschitz condition.

1. Introduction

Fractional differential equations (FDE) have replaced integer-order differential equations as a popular technique for analysing problems in modern science and technology and also in the fields of economy and insurance [1, 11, 13, 14, 22]. Ahmadova and Mahmudov [2] studied the wellposedness results of Caputo-type fractional stochastic neutral differential equation systems.

Notably, stochastic disturbances are certain in practical systems due to its influence in the stability of systems. In [24] $d\mathbf{z}(t) = k\mathbf{z}(t)$ is unstable when $k > 0$, but there is an increase in the stochastic feedback control $r\mathbf{z}(t)d\mathbf{w}(t)$ to become $d\mathbf{z}(t) = k\mathbf{z}(t) + r\mathbf{z}(t)d\mathbf{w}(t)$, being stable if and only if $r^2 > 2k$. The above notion clearly implies a certain stochastic control term stabilizing the unstable system. It is notable and demanding to investigate stochastic stabilization of the deterministic system [16, 23, 25]. The existence and uniqueness of solutions have made instantaneous transformation in applied mathematics. S. Wu and B. Zhou [21] established existence and uniqueness of stochastic differential equation (SDE) with random impulse and markovian switching under non-Lipschitz condition, the reader may also refer the monographs [5, 6, 15, 18].

One among the indispensable speculation of dynamical systems in the stability concepts is taken into notice in research fields through applications. In

Received August 4, 2022; Revised September 26, 2022; Accepted November 22, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A12, 37H60, 60H10, 47H30.

Key words and phrases. Existence, stability, random impulse, fractional stochastic differential system, Kransnoselskii's fixed point theorem, Hyers-Ulam stability.

particular, Ulam in 1940 [17] posted an open question for which Hyers [9] answered in the following year. Then, Ulam-Hyers stability was established. The evolution of the theory paved way for the creative research in stability analysis (see [3, 7, 8, 10, 20]). Recently, Hyers-Ulam stability of random impulsive stochastic functional differential equations with finite delay are established by Li et al. [12]. Also, Anguraj et al. [4] investigated the existence and Hyers Ulam results of random impulsive stochastic functional integrodifferential equations.

This study, which is motivated by previous works, focuses on the existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of random impulsive fractional stochastic functional differential equations with finite delays.

Let us consider a random impulsive non-local stochastic fractional differential equations of the form:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^c\mathcal{D}_t^\beta \vartheta(t) = \mathbf{u}(t, \vartheta_t) + \mathbf{v}(t, \vartheta_t) \frac{d\mathbf{w}(t)}{dt}, & t \neq \zeta_k, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \vartheta(\zeta_k^-) = \mathbf{b}_k(\delta_k) \vartheta(\zeta_k^-), & k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \vartheta(t_0) + \mathbf{h}(\vartheta) = \zeta, \end{cases}$$

where the Caputo fractional ${}^c\mathcal{D}_t^\beta$ is of order $\beta \in (0, 1)$ [12]. A random variable δ_k is described from $\mathbf{w}$ to $\mathcal{D}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (0, \mathfrak{d}_k)$ with $0 < \mathfrak{d}_k < +\infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Assume δ_i, δ_j to be unrestrained for $i \neq j$ as $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in (t_0, +\infty)$, $\mathbf{u} : [t_0, \mathbf{T}] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$, $\mathbf{h} : [t, \mathbf{T}] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\mathbf{v} : [t_0, \mathbf{T}] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{m}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_k : \mathcal{D}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{d}}$, and ϑ_t is an $\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ -valued stochastic process such that $\vartheta_t \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$, $\vartheta_t = \{\vartheta(t + \theta) : -\delta \leq \theta \leq 0\}$. Let ζ_k be the impulsive moment from a strictly increasing sequence, i.e., $\zeta_0 < \zeta_1 < \dots < \zeta_k < \dots < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{ki} = \infty$, and $\vartheta(\zeta_k^-) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \zeta_k - 0} \vartheta(t)$. Assume $\zeta_0 = t_0$ and $\zeta_k = \zeta_{k-1} + \delta_k$ as $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Evidently, $\{\zeta_k\}$ is a process with independent increments. Let $\{\mathbf{N}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a simple counting process generated by $\{\zeta_k\}$ and $\{w(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a given m -dimensional Wiener process. Denote $\mathfrak{F}_t^{(1)}$ to be the σ -algebra generated through $\{\mathbf{N}(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_t^{(2)}$ to be the σ -algebra generated by $\{w(s), s \leq t\}$, provided $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^{(1)}, \mathfrak{F}_\infty^{(2)}$ and ζ are mutually independent.

The significant contribution of this paper includes the succeeding aspects:

(i) There are not many papers that have considered the aforementioned random impulsive stochastic fractional differential system as in (1).

(ii) The contraction principle is used to the existence results of random impulsive differential equations in [5, 18]. However, using Kransnoselskii's fixed point theorem, we analyse the existence findings of fractional random impulsive stochastic differential equations.

The following is a breakdown of the manuscript's structure: Section 2 contains some basic definitions and necessary assumptions. In Section 3, certain needed conditions are assumed for analysing the existence and uniqueness results of the proposed non-local stochastic system. The Hyers-Ulam stability of non-local random impulsive stochastic fractional differential equations with finite delay is shown in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathcal{P})$ be a probability space with filtration $\{\mathfrak{F}_t\}$, $t \geq 0$ satisfying $\mathfrak{F}_t = \mathfrak{F}_t^{(1)} \vee \mathfrak{F}_t^{(2)}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^d)$ be the accumulation of all strongly measurable, p th integrable, $\mathfrak{F}_t$ measurable, ϑ be an $\mathbf{R}^d$ -valued random variable provided, $\|\vartheta\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} = (\mathbf{E} \|\vartheta\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\mathbf{E}\vartheta = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta d\mathcal{P}$. $\delta > 0$ signifies the Banach space of entire piecewise continuous $\mathbf{R}^d$ -valued stochastic process $\{\zeta(t), t \in [-\delta, 0]\}$ by $\mathbf{C}([-\delta, 0], \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^d))$,

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathbf{C}} = \sup_{\theta \in [-\delta, 0]} (\mathbf{E} \|\xi(\theta)\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

thereby, $\xi(\theta) \in \mathbf{C}$.

Assume $\mathsf{T} \in (t_0, +\infty)$, $\mathbf{u} : [t_0, \mathsf{T}] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ along with $\mathbf{v} : [t_0, \mathsf{T}] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d \times m}$ is Borel measurable.

$$(2) \quad \vartheta_{t_0} = \zeta = \{\zeta(\theta) : -\delta \leq \theta \leq 0\}$$

is the initial data, where (2) is $\mathfrak{F}_{t_0}$ measurable, $[-\delta, 0]$ to $\mathbf{R}^d$ -valued random variable such that $\mathbb{E} \|\zeta\|^2 < \infty$.

Definition ([19]). The fractional order integral of the function $\vartheta(t) \in \mathcal{L}^1([a, b], \mathbf{R}^n)$ of order $\beta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ is described as

$$I_a^\beta(\vartheta(t)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^t \frac{\vartheta(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\beta}} ds,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition ([19]). The β order Caputo derivative of a function ϑ on the given interval $[a, b]$ is explained to be

$$({}^c\mathcal{D}_{a,t}^\beta \vartheta)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\beta)} \int_a^t \frac{\vartheta^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\beta+1-n}} ds,$$

$n = [\beta] + 1$ and $[\beta]$ indicates the integer part of β .

Definition. For a specified $\mathsf{T} \in (t_0, +\infty)$, an $\mathbf{R}^d$ -valued stochastic process $\vartheta(t)$ on $t_0 - \delta \leq t \leq \mathsf{T}$ is said to be a solution to (1) along the initial data (2) if $\forall t_0 \leq t \leq \mathsf{T}$, $\vartheta(t_0) = \phi$, $\{\vartheta_t\}_{t_0 \leq t \leq \mathsf{T}}$ is $\mathfrak{F}_t$ -adapted and

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(t) = & \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathbf{h}(\vartheta)] \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \\ & \times \mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \\ & \times \left. \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \quad \times \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \Big] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t), \quad t \in [t_0, T],$$

where $\prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) = \mathbf{b}_k(\delta_k) \mathbf{b}_{k-1}(\delta_{k-1}) \cdots \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i)$, $\prod_{j=\mathbf{m}}^n (\cdot) = 1$ as $\mathbf{m} > n$ and $I_{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot)$ is the index function, i.e.,

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{A}, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \notin \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Definition. Assume that $\mu(t)$ is an $\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ -valued stochastic process. If there exists a real number $\mathfrak{C} > 0$ such that for arbitrary $\epsilon \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \Big\| \mu(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \Big[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) \zeta(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \Big] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \Big\|^{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\mu(t)$ along the initial value $\mu_{t_0} = x_{t_0} = \zeta$, if there exists a solution $\vartheta(t)$ of (3) with $\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \mathfrak{C}\epsilon$, $\forall t \in (t_0 - \delta, T)$. Subsequently, Hyers-Ulam Stability is found in (3).

Lemma 2.1 ([12]). Assume that ϕ, φ are two functions, where $\phi, \varphi \in \mathbf{C}([a, b], \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}})$ and $\phi(t)$ is non-decreasing. If $\vartheta(t) \in \mathbf{C}([a, b], \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}})$ is a solution of the following inequality

$$\vartheta(t) \leq \phi(t) + \int_a^t \varphi(s) \vartheta(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

then $\vartheta(t) \leq \phi(t) \exp(\int_a^t \varphi(s) ds)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([12]). For any $\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$ and $\Theta \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathbf{m}}^{\mathfrak{p}}[0, T]$ a predictable process, the inequality

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^s \Theta(\eta) d\mathbf{w}(\eta) \right\|^{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{2} (\mathfrak{p} - 1) \right)^{\mathfrak{p}/2} \left(\int_0^t (\mathbf{E} \|\Theta(s)\|^{\mathfrak{p}})^{2/\mathfrak{p}} ds \right)^{\mathfrak{p}/2}, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

holds.

3. Main results

Let us impose the following assumptions.

(A1) $\mathbf{u} : [t_0, T] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ satisfies:

(i) $\forall t \in [t_0, T]$, $\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ is continuous and $\forall \eta \in \mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \eta) :$

$[t_0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ is measurable;

(ii) $\exists \mathfrak{M} > 0$ being constant such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|u(t, \eta_1) - u(t, \eta_2)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{M} (\|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p)$$

for $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbf{C}$.

(iii) $\exists$ a constant $\mathfrak{M} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|u(t, \eta)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{M} (1 + \|\eta\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p).$$

(A2) $\mathfrak{h} : [t_0, T] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ satisfies:

(i) $\forall t \in [t_0, T], \mathfrak{h}(t, \cdot) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ is continuous and $\forall \eta \in \mathbf{C}, \mathfrak{h}(\cdot, \eta) :$

$[t_0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ is measurable;

(ii) $\exists \mathfrak{M}_* > 0$ being constant such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathfrak{h}(t, \eta_1) - \mathfrak{h}(t, \eta_2)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{M}_* (\|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p)$$

for $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbf{C}$.

(iii) $\exists$ a constant $\mathfrak{M}_* > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathfrak{h}(t, \eta)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{M}_* (1 + \|\eta\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p).$$

(A3) $\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{E} \|\mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j)\|^p \right\} < \infty, \exists$ a constant $\mathfrak{N} > 0 \forall \delta_j \in \mathfrak{D}_j (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbb{E} \|\mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j)\|^p \right\} \right)^p \leq \mathfrak{N}.$$

(A4) $\mathfrak{v} : [t_0, T] \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{m}}$ appeases

(i) $\mathfrak{v}(t, \cdot) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{m}}$ being continuous also $\forall \eta \in \mathbf{C}, t \in [t_0, T], \mathfrak{v}(\cdot, \eta) : [t_0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{m}}$ is measurable.

(ii) $\exists \mathfrak{S}(t) : [t_0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ being continuous and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{q}}$ integrable, continuous and increasing function $\Xi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathfrak{v}(t, \eta)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{S}(t) \Xi(\|\eta\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p),$$

subjective to $(t, \eta) \in [t_0, T] \times \mathbf{C}, \mathfrak{S}^* = \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \mathfrak{S}(t)$ and the function ϖ

fulfils

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varpi(\delta)}{\delta} = \alpha < \infty.$$

(A5) Let $\mathcal{F} = \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(t-\delta)^{\beta p-1}}{(\beta p-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} (t-t_0)^p \mathfrak{M} < 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *If the hypotheses (A1)-(A5) are true, the system (3) must have at least one mild solution*

$$3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(t-\delta)^{\beta p-1}}{(\beta p-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \left[\mathfrak{M}(T-t_0)^p + \mathfrak{M}_p(T-t_0)^{p/2-1} \mathfrak{S}^* \alpha \right] \leq 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B} = \mathbf{C}([t_0 - \delta, T], \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^p))$ be a space provided,

$$\|\vartheta\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p = \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \|\vartheta_t\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p,$$

where $\|\vartheta_t\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p = \sup_{t-\delta \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{E} \|\vartheta(s)\|^p$.

We interpret the mapping $\Psi : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi\vartheta)(t) &= \zeta(\theta) \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathbf{C}), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\ (\Psi\vartheta)(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The problem of detecting the mild solutions for (3) is shorten to find the fixed point of Ψ .

Now, let us decompose the operator Ψ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}(\vartheta)(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t), \\ \mathfrak{Q}(\vartheta)(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let the closed ball $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$ with centre ϑ and radius $\mathfrak{r} > 0$ be denoted by $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}} = \{\vartheta \in \mathfrak{B}; \|\vartheta\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \leq \mathfrak{r}\}$. The subsequent steps are used to derive the proof.

Step 1: Manifesting, $\mathfrak{P}\vartheta + \mathfrak{Q}\bar{\vartheta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$ where $\mathfrak{r} > 0$ and $\vartheta, \bar{\vartheta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$.

Let us prove the part by confliction. That is, $\forall \mathfrak{r} > 0$ and $t \in [t_0, T]$, $\exists \vartheta^{\mathfrak{r}}(\cdot), \bar{\vartheta}^{\mathfrak{r}}(\cdot) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathfrak{P}(\vartheta^{\mathfrak{r}})(t) + \mathfrak{Q}(\bar{\vartheta}^{\mathfrak{r}})(t)\|^p > \mathfrak{r}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{P}(\vartheta^{\mathfrak{r}})(t) + \mathfrak{Q}(\bar{\vartheta})^{\mathfrak{r}}(t) \right\|^p \\
& \leq 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] \right] \right\|^p + 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s^{\mathfrak{r}}) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s^{\mathfrak{r}}) ds \left. \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \left. \right\|^p \\
& \quad + 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \bar{\vartheta}_s^{\mathfrak{r}}) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \bar{\vartheta}_s^{\mathfrak{r}}) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \right\|^p \\
& \leq 6^{p-1} \mathfrak{N} [\|\zeta(0)\|^p + \mathfrak{M}_* (1 + \|\vartheta_s^{\mathfrak{r}}\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p)] + 3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbb{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \\
& \quad \times (t - t_0)^p \mathfrak{M} (1 + \|\vartheta_s^{\mathfrak{r}}\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p) + 3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbb{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \\
& \quad \times (t - t_0)^{p/2-1} \mathfrak{M}_p \mathfrak{S}^* \int_{t_0}^t \Xi \left(\|\bar{\vartheta}_s^{\mathfrak{r}}\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{r} & \leq 3^{p-1} \left[2\mathfrak{N} [\|\zeta(0)\|^p + \mathfrak{M}_*] + \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbb{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} (\mathbb{T} - t_0)^p \mathfrak{M} \right] \\
& \quad + 3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbb{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \mathbb{T} - t_0)^p \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{r} + 6^{p-1} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{M}_* \mathfrak{r} \\
& \quad + 3^{p-1} \left[\max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbb{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} (\mathbb{T} - t_0)^{p/2-1} \mathfrak{M}_p \frac{\mathfrak{S}^*}{\mathfrak{r}} \int_{t_0}^t \Xi(\mathfrak{r}) ds \right] \mathfrak{r},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_p = (p(p-1)/2)^{p/2}$.

Also,

$$\sup_{t \in [t_0, \mathbb{T}]} \|\vartheta_t^{\mathfrak{r}}\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p = \sup_{t \in [t_0 - \delta, \mathbb{T}]} \|\vartheta^{\mathfrak{r}}\|^p \leq \|\vartheta^{\mathfrak{r}}(t)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \leq \mathfrak{r}.$$

The aforesaid inequality being divided by $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\mathfrak{r} \rightarrow \infty$, by (A3)(ii),

$$3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbb{T} - \delta)^{\beta p-1}}{(p\beta - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \left[\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{T} - t_0)^p + \mathfrak{M}_p(\mathbb{T} - t_0)^{p/2-1} \mathfrak{S}^* \alpha \right] \geq 1,$$

which conflicts our assumption. Therefore, $\exists \mathfrak{r} > 0$ such that $\vartheta, \bar{\vartheta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$, $\mathfrak{P}\vartheta + \mathfrak{Q}\bar{\vartheta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$.

Step 2: Let $\vartheta, \bar{\vartheta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{r}}$ for $t \in [t_0, \mathbb{T}]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| (\mathfrak{P}\vartheta)(t) - (\mathfrak{P}\bar{\vartheta})(t) \right\|^p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) [\mathbf{h}(\vartheta) - \mathbf{h}(\bar{\vartheta})] \right] \right\|^p \\
&\quad + 2^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \vartheta(s, \bar{\vartheta}_s)] ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \vartheta(s, \bar{\vartheta}_s)] ds \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \right\|^p \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M}_* \|\vartheta_t - \bar{\vartheta}_t\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p + 2^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=i}^k \|\mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^p \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left(\int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{u}(s, \bar{\vartheta}_s)\| ds I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \right)^p \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M}_* \|\vartheta_t - \bar{\vartheta}_t\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p + 2^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{M}\} \frac{(\mathbf{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} (t - t_0)^p \\
&\quad \times \mathfrak{M} \left(\|\vartheta_t - \bar{\vartheta}_t\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|\vartheta_t - \bar{\vartheta}_t\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p \leq \sup_{s \in [t-\delta, t]} \mathbf{E} \|\vartheta(s) - \bar{\vartheta}(s)\|^p.$$

Taking supremum over t and by (A4),

$$\|(\mathfrak{P}\vartheta)(t) - (\mathfrak{P}\bar{\vartheta})(t)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p \leq \mathcal{F} \|\vartheta - \bar{\vartheta}\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p$$

with $0 < \mathcal{F} < 1$. Hence, $\mathfrak{P}$ is a contraction on $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{r}}$.

Step 3: Let $\{\vartheta^n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{r}}$ with $\vartheta^n \rightarrow \vartheta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $t \in [t_0, \mathbf{T}]$ by continuity of $\mathbf{v}$ in (A3)(i),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E} \|(\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta^n)(t) - (\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t)\|^p \\
&\leq \max\{1, \mathfrak{M}\} \frac{(t - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\beta p - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \mathfrak{M}_p (t - t_0)^{p/2-1} \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s^n) - \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s)\|^p ds \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{Q}$ is continuous $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{r}}$.

Step 4: $\mathfrak{B}$ being a piecewise space, suppose $\zeta_k < t_1 < t_2 < \zeta_{k+1}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{r}}$. Then, for any fixed $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{r}}$, through assumptions (A2), (A3) along with Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E} \|(\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t_2) - (\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t_1)\|^p \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_1} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t_1) \right\|^p
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^{t_2} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t_2) \right\|^p \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t_2) \right\|^p \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ while } t_2 \rightarrow t_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, $\mathfrak{Q}$ maps the bounded sets $\mathfrak{B}_\tau$ into equicontinuous sets.

Step 5:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \mathbb{E} \|(\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t)\|^p \\
& = \sup_{t \in [t_0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \right\|^p \\
& \leq \frac{(T-\delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(p\beta-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \mathfrak{M}_p \|\mathfrak{S}^*\|_{\mathcal{L}^q} \Xi(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{B}_\tau)\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Step 6: Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < \epsilon < t - t_0$. For $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{B}_\tau$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t) & = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^{t-\epsilon} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t), \quad t \in (t_0, t-\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

The set $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t) = \{(\mathfrak{Q}^\epsilon \vartheta)(t) : \vartheta \in \mathfrak{B}_\tau\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathfrak{B} \forall \epsilon \in (0, t-t_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad \mathbb{E} \|(\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t) - (\mathfrak{Q}^\epsilon \vartheta)(t)\|^p & \leq \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(T-\delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(p\beta-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} (\epsilon)^{p/2-1} \\
& \quad \times \mathfrak{M}_p \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \mathfrak{S}^* \Xi(\tau) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, (1) tends to zero. Thus the set $\mathcal{W}(t) = \{(\mathfrak{Q}\vartheta)(t) : \vartheta \in \mathfrak{B}_\tau\}$ has arbitrarily precompact sets and $\mathcal{W}(t)$ is relatively compact in $\mathfrak{B}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{Q}$ is compact and completely continuous using Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

From Kransnoselskii's Fixed point theorem, $\Phi\vartheta = \mathfrak{P}\vartheta + \mathfrak{Q}\vartheta$ has a fixed point on $\mathfrak{B}_\tau$. Therefore, (1) has a mild solution. Thus the proof is complete. $\square$

Yet, existence of the solution for the system (3) can also be acquired by Banach Contraction Principle. Let us impose the following assumption

(A3') Let $\mathbf{v}(t, \vartheta_t)$ be continuous, $\mathbf{v}(t, \vartheta_t) \in \mathcal{L}^p([t_0, T] \times \mathbf{C}; \mathbf{R}^{d \times m}) \ni \mathfrak{M} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{v}(t, \vartheta_1) - \mathbf{v}(t, \vartheta_2)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{M} (\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)_{\mathbf{C}}^p$$

for $t \in [t_0, T]$, $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathbf{C}$.

By assuming (A1), (A2) and (A3') are satisfied, let us consider the subsequent theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *If the hypotheses (A1), (A2) and (A3') are all true, $\exists$ a specific mild solution of (3).*

Proof. For every initial value $t_0 \geq 0$, $\vartheta_0 \in \mathfrak{B}_t$, an operator $\mathfrak{U} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{U}\vartheta)(t) = & \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \\ & \times \mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \\ & \times \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \\ & \left. \times \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \quad t \in [t_0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left\| (\mathfrak{U}\vartheta)(t) - (\mathfrak{U}\tilde{\vartheta})(t) \right\|^p \\ & \leq 3\mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\mathfrak{h}(\vartheta) - \mathfrak{h}(\tilde{\vartheta})] \right\|^2 + 3^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=i}^k \|\mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^p \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{E} \left\| \mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{u}(s, \tilde{\vartheta}_s) \right\| ds \right)^p \\ & \quad + 3^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=i}^k \|\mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j)\| \right\} \right]^p \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{E} \left\| \mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{v}(s, \tilde{\vartheta}_s) \right\| d\mathbf{w}(s) \right)^p \\ & \leq 3^{p-1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M}_* \|\vartheta_t - \tilde{\vartheta}_t\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p + 3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbf{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(p\beta-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} (t - t_0)^p \\ & \quad \times \mathfrak{M} \left\| \vartheta_s - \tilde{\vartheta}_s \right\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p + 3^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\} \frac{(\mathbf{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(p\beta-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \mathfrak{M}_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\times (t - t_0)^{p/2} \mathfrak{M} \left\| \vartheta_s - \tilde{\vartheta}_s \right\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p.$$

Taking supremum over t ,

$$\left\| \mathfrak{U}\vartheta - \mathfrak{U}\tilde{\vartheta} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}}^p \leq \varpi(t) \mathbf{E} \left\| \vartheta - \tilde{\vartheta} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}}^p,$$

where $\varpi(t) = 2^{p-1} \max\{1, \mathfrak{M}\} \frac{(\mathsf{T}-\delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\mathsf{p}\beta-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \mathfrak{M} [(t - t_0)^p + \mathfrak{M}_p(t - t_0)^{p/2}]$.

For sufficiently small $0 < \mathsf{T}_1 < \mathsf{T}$, $\mathcal{F} < 1$. Thus $\mathfrak{U}$ is a contraction mapping.

Through Banach Contraction principle, $\mathfrak{U}\vartheta = \vartheta$ is a distinctive solution of (3). $\square$

4. Stability results

Here, the Hyers-Ulam stability of system (3) are investigated presuming the hypotheses (A1), (A2) and (A3').

Theorem 4.1. *If the assumption of Theorem 3.2 gets fulfilled, (3) has Ulam-Hyers stability.*

Proof. It is well known that $\vartheta(t)$ is the solution of (3).

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(t) = & \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \\ & \times \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \vartheta_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \\ & \times \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \\ & \left. \times \mathfrak{v}(s, \vartheta_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t). \end{aligned}$$

By the condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left\| \mu(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \mathfrak{u}(s, \mu_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{u}(s, \mu_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathfrak{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \mathfrak{v}(s, \mu_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{v}(s, \mu_s) d\mathfrak{w}(s) \right] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \right\|^p \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

When $t \in [t_0 - \delta, \mathsf{T}]$, $\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^p = 0$. Meanwhile for $t \in [t_0, \mathsf{T}]$,

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \mathbf{E} \left\| \mu(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathfrak{h}(\vartheta)] \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s) ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s) ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s) d\mathbf{w}(s) \Big] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \Big\|^\mathbf{p} \\
& + 2^{\mathbf{p}-1} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) [\zeta(0) - \mathbf{h}(\vartheta)] \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) \\
& - \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s)] ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s)] ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) \\
& - \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s)] d\mathbf{w}(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta(s)) \\
& - \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s)] d\mathbf{w}(s) \Big] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \Big\|^\mathbf{p} \\
& \leq 2^{\mathbf{p}-1} \epsilon + 2^{\mathbf{p}-1} \mathfrak{J},
\end{aligned}$$

whereas,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{J} &= \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s)] ds \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{u}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{u}(s, \mu_s)] ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \\
& \times \int_{\zeta_{i-1}}^{\zeta_i} (t-s)^{\beta-1} [\mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta_s) - \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s)] d\mathbf{w}(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\zeta_k}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \\
& \times [\mathbf{v}(s, \vartheta(s)) - \mathbf{v}(s, \mu_s)] d\mathbf{w}(s) \Big] I_{[\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})}(t) \Big\|^\mathbf{p} \\
& \times (\mathbf{T} - t_0)^{(\mathbf{p}-2)/2} \frac{(\mathbf{T} - \delta)^{\mathbf{p}\beta-1}}{(\mathbf{p}\beta-1)(\Gamma(\beta))^\mathbf{p}} \mathfrak{M} \int_{t_0}^t \|\vartheta_s - \mu_s\|_\mathbf{C}^\mathbf{p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \mathfrak{Y}_1 \int_{t_0}^t \|\vartheta(s) - \mu(s)\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p ds,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{Y}_1 = 2^{p-1} \mathfrak{M}_{\max\{1, \mathfrak{N}\}} (\mathsf{T} - t_0)^{p/2-1} \frac{(\mathsf{T} - \delta)^{p\beta-1}}{(\mathfrak{p}\beta - 1)(\Gamma(\beta))^p} \left[(\mathsf{T} - t_0)^{p/2} + (\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{p} - 1)/2)^{p/2} \right].$$

So,

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \epsilon + 2^{p-1} \mathfrak{Y}_1 \int_{t_0}^t \|\mu(s) - \vartheta(s)\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p ds.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \|\mu(s) - \vartheta(s)\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p ds &= \int_{t_0}^t \sup_{\theta \in [-\delta, 0]} \mathbf{E} \|\mu(s + \theta) - \vartheta(s + \theta)\|^p ds \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [-\delta, 0]} \int_{t_0 + \theta}^{t + \theta} \mathbf{E} \|\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \vartheta(\mathfrak{m})\|^p d\mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned}$$

While $t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0]$, $\mathbf{E} \|\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \vartheta(\mathfrak{m})\|^p = 0$.

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \|\mu_s - \vartheta_s\|_{\mathbf{C}}^p ds &= \sup_{\theta \in [-\delta, 0]} \int_{t_0}^{t + \theta} \mathbf{E} \|\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \vartheta(\mathfrak{m})\|^p d\mathfrak{m} \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{E} \|\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \vartheta(\mathfrak{m})\|^p d\mathfrak{m}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \epsilon + 2^{p-1} \mathfrak{Y}_1 \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{E} \|\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - \vartheta(\mathfrak{m})\|^p d\mathfrak{m}.$$

Through Lemma 2.1,

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \epsilon \exp(2^{p-1} \mathfrak{Y}_1).$$

Consequently, $\exists \mathfrak{C} = 2^{p-1} \exp(2^{p-1} \mathfrak{Y}_1)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mu(t) - \vartheta(t)\|^p \leq \mathfrak{C} \epsilon.$$

Hence the Hyers-Ulam stability of (3) is proved. $\square$

5. Conclusion

A class of Caputo type random impulsive fractional stochastic differential equations are investigated. The existence and uniqueness of solutions are acquired through Kransnoselskii's fixed point theorem. Hyers-Ulam stability of the aforementioned system is obtained using Lipschitz condition.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments in upgrading the article.

References

- [1] R. Agarwal, S. Hristova, and D. O'Regan, *Non-Instantaneous Impulses in Differential Equations*, Springer, Cham, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66384-5>
- [2] A. Ahmadova and N. I. Mahmudov, *Existence and uniqueness results for a class of fractional stochastic neutral differential equations*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **139** (2020), 110253, 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110253>
- [3] S. András and A. R. Mészáros, *Ulam-Hyers stability of elliptic partial differential equations in Sobolev spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **229** (2014), 131–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.12.021>
- [4] A. Anguraj, K. Ramkumar, and K. Ravikumar, *Existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of random impulsive stochastic functional integrodifferential equations with finite delays*, Comput. Methods Differ. Equ. **10** (2022), no. 1, 191–199.
- [5] A. Anguraj and A. Vinodkumar, *Existence and uniqueness of neutral functional differential equations with random impulses*, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. **8** (2009), no. 4, 412–418.
- [6] A. Anguraj and A. Vinodkumar, *Existence, uniqueness and stability results of random impulsive semilinear differential systems*, Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst. **4** (2010), no. 3, 475–483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nahs.2009.11.004>
- [7] E. Gselmann, *Stability properties in some classes of second order partial differential equations*, Results Math. **65** (2014), no. 1-2, 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-013-0332-8>
- [8] J. Huang, S.-M. Jung, and Y. Li, *On Hyers-Ulam stability of nonlinear differential equations*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 2, 685–697. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2015.52.2.685>
- [9] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>
- [10] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order. II*, Appl. Math. Lett. **19** (2006), no. 9, 854–858. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2005.11.004>
- [11] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [12] S. Li, L. Shu, X. Shu, and F. Xu, *Existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of random impulsive stochastic functional differential equations with finite delays*, Stochastics **91** (2019), no. 6, 857–872. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17442508.2018.1551400>
- [13] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [14] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 198, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [15] B. Radhakrishnan, M. Tamilarasi, and P. Anukokila, *Existence, uniqueness and stability results for semilinear integrodifferential non-local evolution equations with random impulse*, Filomat **32** (2018), no. 19, 6615–6626. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil1819615r>
- [16] R. Raja, U. K. Raja, R. Samidurai, and A. Leelamani, *Passitivity analysis for uncertain discrete-time stochastic BAM neutral networks with time-varying delays*, Neutral Comput. Appl. **25** (2014), 751–766.
- [17] S. M. Ulam, *A collection of mathematical problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960.
- [18] A. Vinodkumar, M. Gowrisankar, and P. Mohankumar, *Existence, uniqueness and stability of random impulsive neutral partial differential equations*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **23** (2015), no. 1, 31–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2014.01.005>
- [19] A. Vinodkumar, K. Malar, M. Gowrisankar, and P. Mohankumar, *Existence, uniqueness and stability of random impulsive fractional differential equations*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser.

- B (Engl. Ed.) **36** (2016), no. 2, 428–442. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(16\)30010-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(16)30010-8)
- [20] X. Wang, D. Luo, Z. Luo, and A. Zada, *Ulam-Hyers stability of Caputo-type fractional stochastic differential equations with time delays*, Math. Probl. Eng. **2021** (2021), Art. ID 5599206, 24 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5599206>
 - [21] S. J. Wu and B. Zhou, *Existence and uniqueness of stochastic differential equations with random impulses and Markovian switching under non-Lipschitz conditions*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **27** (2011), no. 3, 519–536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-011-9753-z>
 - [22] Y. Zhou, J. R. Wang, and L. Zhang, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World scientific, Singapore, 2014.
 - [23] Q. Zhu, *Stability analysis of stochastic delay differential equations with Lévy noise*, Systems Control Lett. **118** (2018), 62–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysconle.2018.05.015>
 - [24] Q. Zhu and T. Huang, *Stability analysis for a class of stochastic delay nonlinear systems driven by G-Brownian motion*, Systems Control Lett. **140** (2020), 104699, 9 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysconle.2020.104699>
 - [25] Q. Zhu and B. Song, *Exponential stability of impulsive nonlinear stochastic differential equations with mixed delays*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **12** (2011), no. 5, 2851–2860. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2011.04.011>

DUMITRU BALEANU
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 CANKAYA UNIVERSITY
 ANKARA, TÜRKIYE
 AND
 INSTITUTE OF SPACE SCIENCES
 MAGYRELE-BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
 AND
 DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL RESEARCH
 CHINA MEDICAL UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL
 CHINA MEDICAL UNIVERSITY
 TAICHUNG, TAIWAN
Email address: dumitru@cankaya.edu.tr

BANUPRIYA KANDASAMY
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS WITH COMPUTER APPLICATIONS
 PSG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
 COIMBATORE, 641 014, INDIA
Email address: banupriyapsg3@gmail.com

RAMKUMAR KASINATHAN
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS WITH COMPUTER APPLICATIONS
 PSG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
 COIMBATORE, 641 014, INDIA
Email address: ramkumarkpsg@gmail.com

RAVIKUMAR KASINATHAN
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 PSG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
 COIMBATORE, 641 014, INDIA
Email address: ravikumarkpsg@gmail.com

VARSHINI SANDRASEKARAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PSG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
COIMBATORE, 641 014, INDIA
AND
SRI ESHWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
COIMBATORE, 641 202, INDIA
Email address: svarshini0808@gmail.com