Correlations among the features instigating contentment: A Study With reference to the Maruti Suzuki Customers in Coimbatore

K. Ramya* and Dr. C. K. Kotravel Bharathi**

*PhD Research Scholar, GRD Academy of Management, Coimbatore *Principal, GRD Academy of Management, Coimbatore

ABSTRACT

The automobiles market is very dynamic in India as well as in many parts of the world. Irrespective of the tradition, brand image and longevity of service, the manufacturers of automobiles should remain updated on the customers' expectations, perceptions and satisfaction. For updating their reliable marketing information, lots of customer/ market related studies help the companies. This study is an attempt to analyse the customer satisfaction drivers of Maruti Suzuki brand. Especially this article brings in some interesting correlations among satisfaction drivers.

Keywords: Correlation, Contentment, Maruti Suzuki

Introduction

India is emerging as a big market for the worldwide auto giants. For most of the people, purchasing a car is the second most important and expensive decision, next to purchase of a house; for the automotive manufacturers, first-time car buyers give them the opportunity to create positive brand image which definitely could be reflected in next coming years because consumers could make repeat car purchasing. The concept of "buying behavior" is of prime importance in marketing and has evolved over the years.

Consumer behavior is fairly complex as far as the Car Purchase is concerned, as it implies a high level of social and psychological involvement. Consumer buying behavior is a blend of economic, technological, political, cultural, demographic and natural factors as well as customer's own characteristics which are reflected by his attitude, motivation, perception, personality, knowledge and lifestyle. This leads to constant modifications of Car Models and its features in terms of their size, capacity, styling etc. and today we see a new model coming into the market once in every quarter. Market has become very competitive and has created an

interesting context to study the behavior of consumers and also provides useful insights of what a consumer expects from a product in a dynamic environment.

Review of literature

Chirag pahuja (2007)¹ in his study "Analysis of Car Industry in India on the Basis of Consumer Preferences", ICFAI Business School, analyzed Indian cars based on consumer preferences said that, The consumer preferences depending on the various variables such as price, maintenance cost, comfort, mileage, brand, durability, looks, etc. The surveyed people replied to us on the basis of ranking between 1 to 7. They ranked as per their thought and replied as. One of the survey questionnaire has been shown above which shows how people replied for the various variables. Then we studied these variables in the software SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences). On the basis of the results, we came to know that it is the price which matters most for the consumers in buying the cars, after that it is the maintenance cost, mileage, style, etc.

Rungtai Lin and Chia-Ling Chang (2004)², in his paper titled, "A study of Consumer Perception in Innovative Product", he discussed to be successful, innovative products must have a clear, significant, point of difference that is related to a need in the market place. Furthermore, changes in consumer perception regarding innovation are also important in product design. The main purpose of this work is to study factors affecting designers' and users' perception in innovation; these factors are discussed in order to eliminate differences between designers' and users' perception of innovative products. Subjects are sampled from students with different backgrounds. Multidimensional scaling analysis is performed to transform subjects' preference evaluations into geometric distance for a multidimensional configuration for studying the subjects' perception of innovation. The main purpose of this paper is to explore the influence of subjects with and without design background to the perception of innovative product so that the differences between designers and customers can be reduced. Both the innovative product forms and innovative product categories are discussed, based on subjects with and without design background.

Mr. Vishal. S. Rana Dr. Murlidhar A. Lokhande (2013)³, "Performance Evaluation of Maruti Suzuki India Limited: An Overview", Maruti Suzuki India Limited is India's leading & largest Passenger car manufacturer which accounting for nearly 50 percent of the total industry sales. With a view to cater the demand of all types of customer the company has variety of brands in its basket i.e ranging from the peoples car Maruti 800 to the stylish hatch-back Swift, SX4 Sedan and luxury sports utility vehicle (SUV) Grand Vitara. The company has received ample awards and achievements due to its continuous innovations and technological up gradations. The company today is very conscious about safeguarding the environment from vehicle pollution which resulted in launching of its advanced K-Series engines. Despite of stiff competition, Maruti Suzuki India Limited is presently considered as the leading automobile giant due to its remarkable Economic, Environmental & Social performances. The object of this paper is to evaluate the performance of Maruti Suzuki India Limited with respect to Export, Sales, Production and Sales Network.

Dr. Krishnan Kumar(2010)⁴, in his paper presented in the conference held in Canada, paper titled, "Maruti Automotive Center for Excellence", he explained, Maruti Suzuki to upgrade their

performance in terms of defect reduction, productivity improvement, delivery performance and energy conservation. In the beginning before starting the projects, a two day training program on Lean Manufacturing is given to staff from vendors. Sufficient details are provided about the SMED and how each vendor should attempt to reduce changeover time in order to improve the availability of machines. Chronic problems which are difficult to resolve on day to day basis are also taken up for detailed process observation, data collection and further analysis is carried out to find out real root causes of existing problems.

Prabhjot Singh, Raghu Monga(2012)⁵, in his paper "Project Report On people's Perception Towards Maruti Suzuki Cars", the research has been conducted to know the People's perception towards Maruti Suzuki cars. The study was conducted to know the factors that influence the purchase of Maruti Suzuki cars, also what are the people's expectations from Maruti Suzuki cars. The problems faced by the consumers with regard to Maruti Suzuki cars were also inquired into and there by their overall satisfaction level was studied. This is a descriptive and exploratory research and mainly primary data is used for the purpose of data collection. The results indicated that people are satisfied with the Maruti cars and it is its fuel efficiency which affects their buying behavior towards Maruti Suzuki. Also there is a lot of scope for Maruti Suzuki cars in India.

Objectives

- ✓ To analyze the customer intentions for the purchase of cars.
- ✓ To find out the correlation among interesting features that leads to the study.
- ✓ To analyze the perceived quality of the Maruti Suzuki brand according to the customers of Coimbatore District.

Sampling Technique

Sampling Unit

A decision has to be taken concerning a sampling unit before selecting sample. It may be geographical, construction unit or it may be a social unit. Here I have selected the geographical such as Coimbatore district as my sampling unit.

Sample Size

This refers to the number of items to be selected from the universe to constitute a sample. In this research, 460 respondents were selected to get optimum result.

Sampling Technique

It is always found better to apply the random sampling technique for the researches like this in social sciences and humanities, for ensuring more reliable results. But, it was found much difficult to collect data by applying random sampling technique, as far as this research was concerned. Hence, the Quota sampling technique has been applied here.

Analysis and Discussion

FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

TABLE: 1 GENDER OF THE RESPONDENTS						
	Gender	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Male	307	66.7	66.7	66.7	
	Female	153	33.3	33.3	100.0	
	Total	460	100.0	100.0		

SOURCE: PRIMARY DATA

From the table 1, we come to know that 66.7% of the respondents are male and 33.3% of the respondents of this study are female.

TABLE: 2	2 AGE OF T	HE RESPON	DENTS		
	Age	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Below 30	272	59.1	59.1	59.1
	30-40 Years	107	23.3	23.3	82.4
	40-50 Years	52	11.3	11.3	93.7
	50-60 Years	13	2.8	2.8	96.5
	Above 60	16	3.5	3.5	100.0
	Total	460	100.0	100.0	

SOURCE: PRIMARY DATA

From the table 2, we come to know that 59.1% of the respondents are below the age group of 30 years, 23.3% of the respondents are between the age group of 30-40 years, 11.3% of the respondents are between the age group of 40-50 years, 3.5% of the respondents are above the age of 60 years and 2.8% of the respondents are between the age group of 50 -60 years.

TABLE:	TABLE: 3 QUALIFICATION OF THE RESPONDENTS						
	Qualification	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	Below SSLC	11	2.4	2.4	2.4		
	SSLC	37	8.0	8.0	10.4		
	HSC/PUC	36	7.8	7.8	18.3		
	Diploma	61	13.3	13.3	31.5		
	UG	133	28.9	28.9	60.4		
	PG	64	13.9	13.9	74.3		
	Professional Degree	113	24.6	24.6	98.9		
	(Engineering/Medic						
	al/Law)						
	Doctoral Degree	5	1.1	1.1	100.0		
	Total	460	100.0	100.0			

SOURCE: PRIMARY DATA

From the table 3, we come to know that 28.9% of the respondents completed Ug degree, 24.6% of the respondents completed professional degree, 13.9% of the respondents completed pg degree, 13.3% of the respondents completed diploma, 8% of the respondents completed SSLC, 7.8% of the respondents completed HSC, 2.4% of the respondents are below SSLC.

TABLE:	4 OCCUPATION OF TH	HE RESPON	DENTS		
	Occupation	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative Percent
				Percent	
Valid	Government Employee	41	8.9	8.9	8.9
	Private Employee	304	66.1	66.1	75.0
	Business	34	7.4	7.4	82.4
	Professional	77	16.7	16.7	99.1
	Others	4	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	460	100.0	100.0	

SOURCE: PRIMARY DATA

From the table 4, we come to know that 66.1% of the respondents are Private employee, 16.7% of the respondent's are Professional, 8.9 % of the respondents belong to the Government employee, 7.4% of the respondents are Business and 0.9 % of the respondents belong to other category.

TABLE	TABLE: 5 CRITERIA FOR SELECTING THE PRESENT MODEL					
	Criteria	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Price	77	16.7	16.7	16.7	
	Mileage	134	29.1	29.1	45.9	
	Maintenance	79	17.2	17.2	63.0	
	Look/Aesthetics	51	11.1	11.1	74.1	
	Safety features	18	3.9	3.9	78.0	
	Company's Service	11	2.4	2.4	80.4	
	Space	5	1.1	1.1	81.5	
	Comfort	26	5.7	5.7	87.2	
	Ready Availability	3	.7	.7	87.8	
	Fuel Type	8	1.7	1.7	89.6	
	Two or More Option	40	8.7	8.7	98.3	
	Others	8	1.7	1.7	100.0	
	Total	460	100.0	100.0		

SOURCE: PRIMARY DATA

From the table 5, we come to know 29.1% of the respondents select the present model due to Mileage, 17.2% of the respondents select the present model due to Maintenance, 16.7% of the respondents select the model due to the Price, 11.1% of the respondents select the present model due to Look/ aesthetics, 8.7% of the respondents select the model due to two or more options, 5.7% of the respondents select the model due to Comfort, 3.9% of the respondents select the model due to Company's service, 1.7% of the respondents select the model due to Fuel type and other options, 1.1% of the respondents select the model due to Space and . 7% of the respondents select the model due to Ready availability.

TABLE: 6 DRIVERS OF SATISFACTION						
Drivers of		Highly	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Highly
Satisfaction		Satisfied		Satisfied Nor		dissatisfied
				Dissatisfied		
Exteriors	No	175	248	36	1	-
	%	38	53.9	7.8	.2	-
Interiors	No	132	261	66	1	-
	%	28.7	56.7	14.3	.2	-
Storage and Space	No	107	269	82	2	-
	%	23.3	58.5	17.8	.4	-
Audio/entertainment/	No	74	290	69	25	2
Navigation	%	16.1	63	15	5.4	.4
Seats	No	109	281	59	7	4
	%	23.7	61.1	12.8	1.5	.9
Air-Conditioning	No	105	286	60	3	6
	%	22.8	62.2	13	7	1.3
Driving Dynamics	No	146	264	47	1	2
	%	31.7	57.4	10.2	.2	.4
Engine/Transmission	No	132	281	44	3	-
	%	28.7	61.1	9.6	.7	-
Visibility	No	125	271	57	3	4
	%	27.2	58.9	12.4	.7	.9
Driving Safety	No	114	256	72	14	4
	%	24.8	55.7	15.7	3	.9
Fuel economy	No	140	276	43	-	1
	%	30.4	60	9.3	-	.2

SOURCE: PRIMARY DATA

From the table 5.1.27, we come to know that, (53.9%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the exteriors, 38% of the respondents are highly satisfied, 7.8% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied and .2% of the respondents are dissatisfied.

- (56.7%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the interiors, 28.7 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 14.3% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied and .2% of the respondents are dissatisfied.
- (58.5%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Storage and space, 23.3 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 17.8% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied and .4% of the respondents are dissatisfied.
- (63%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Audio/Navigation/Entertainment, 16.1 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 15% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 5.4 % of the respondents are dissatisfied and .4% of the respondents are highly dissatisfied.
- (61.1%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Seats, 23.7 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 12.8% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 1.5% of the respondents are dissatisfied and 4% of the respondents are highly dissatisfied.
- (62.2%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Air-conditioning, 22.8 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 13% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 7% of the respondents are dissatisfied and 1.3 % of the respondents are highly dissatisfied.
- (57.4%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Driving dynamics, 31.7 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 10.2% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, .4% of the respondents are highly dissatisfied and .2% of the respondents are dissatisfied.
- (61.1%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Engine/transmission, 28.7 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 9.6% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied and .7% of the respondents are dissatisfied.
- (58.9 %) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Visibility, 27.2 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 12.4% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, .9% of the respondents are highly dissatisfied and .7% of the respondents are dissatisfied.
- (55.7%) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Driving safety, 24.8% of the respondents are highly satisfied, 15.7% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, .9% of the respondents are highly dissatisfied and .3% of the respondents are dissatisfied.
- (60 %) Majority of the respondents are satisfied with the Visibility, 30.4 % of the respondents are highly satisfied, 9.3% of the respondents are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied and .2% of the respondents are highly dissatisfied

CORRELATION

TABLE NO 1					
CORRELATION BETWE	EEN GENDER VS. FUTU	JRE RECOMM	IENDATION		
Gender Future Recommendatio					
Gender	Pearson Correlation	1	.375***		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000		
	N	460	460		
Future Recommendation	Pearson Correlation	.375**	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			
	N	460	460		

From the above table, it is interesting to note that the variables "gender" and "future recommendation" are positively correlating (.375**). Though the degree of correlation is only (.375**), it need not be ignored. Here the variable "Future Recommendation" denotes the interest /willingness of the customers to recommend the respective brand to their friends/relatives/known persons in their network for their purchase decisions in future. As 67% of the respondents are male we can assume mostly the male are willing for such recommendation in future and this may have resulted in the above said correlation.

TABLE NO 2			
CORRELATION	N BETWEEN AGE VS. EXPERIEN	NCE	
		Age	Experience
Age	Pearson Correlation	1	.729**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Experience	Pearson Correlation	.729**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation is	s significant at the 0.01 level (2-taile	ed).	

The above table shows positive correlation between "age" and "experience" (.729**). The reason behind this correlation may be the customers age and experience is equally considered important for choosing a car brand. But 59% of the respondents are below 30 years and have low level of experience in their field, even though it need not be ignored.

TABLE NO 3				
CORRELATION BE	TWEEN ANNUAL INCOME VS.	FAMILY INCOME		
		Annual	Family Income	
		Income		
Annual Income	Pearson Correlation	1	.616**	
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	
	N	460	460	
Family Income	Pearson Correlation	.616**	1	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		
	N	460	460	
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).				

The above table shows there is a positive correlation between "Annual income" of the individual respondents and "Family income" (.616**). It is considered as an important source to select the range, model and the design while buying a new car. In this we can come to know the capacity of a person and their family.

TABLE NO 4					
CORRELATION BE	ETWEEN INSTALLATION VS. USA	GE EXPERIENCE			
		Installation	Usage		
			Experience		
Installation	Pearson Correlation	1	.594**		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000		
	N	460	460		
Usage Experience	Pearson Correlation	.594**	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			
	N	460	460		
**. Correlation is sig	nificant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).				

From the above table, it is very interesting to see the positive correlation between the "installation/first use experience" and "usage experience" (.594**). The Respondents feel that the first use experience and usage experience are equally very important.

TABLE NO 5						
CORRE	LATION BETWEEN SWITCH	H VS. WHICH BRAND)			
	Switch Which Brand					
Switch	Pearson Correlation	1	.800**			
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000			
	N	460	460			
Which	Pearson Correlation	.800**	1			
Brand	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000				
N 460 460						
**. Correl	ation is significant at the 0.01 le	evel (2-tailed).				

From the above table it is interesting to point out that there is a positive correlation between "switch" and "which brand" (.800**). 25% of the respondents switch to Maruti car from other brand car due to many reasons. The brands from which the most of the respondents who have switched over to Maruti may be mostly common. That may be the logic behind the above correlation.

TABLE NO 6					
CORRELATION BETW	EEN SWITCH VS. R	EASON FOR SW	ITCH OVER		
		Switch	Reason for Switch over		
Switch	Pearson	1	.906**		
	Correlation				
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000		
	N	460	460		
Reason for Switch over	Pearson	.906**	1		
	Correlation				
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			
	N	460	460		
**. Correlation is signific	cant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).	1		

There is a positive correlation between "Switch" and "Reason for Switch over" (.906**). Among the respondents who have switched over from other brands, the reason for switch over may be common. That may be the logic behind the above strong positive correlation.

TABLE NO	7		
CORRELAT	TON BETWEEN WHIC	CH BRAND VS. R	REASON FOR SWITCH OVER
		Which Brand	Reason for Switch over
Which	Pearson Correlation	1	.876**
Brand	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Reason for	Pearson Correlation	.876**	1
Switch	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
over	N	460	460

From the above table, we come to know that there is a positive correlation between "which brand" and "reason for switch over" (.876**). This may be due to the reason that the respondents switching over from a particular brand to Maruti might have switched over mostly for a common reason.

`TABLE NO 8			
CORRELATION BETWI	EEN MARUTI TO	MARUTI VS. REAS	SON FOR SWITCH OVER
FROM MARUTI TO MA	RUTI		
		Maruti To Maruti	Reason For Switch Over
			From Maruti To Maruti
Maruti To Maruti	Pearson	1	.898**
	Correlation		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Reason For Switch Over	Pearson	.898**	1
From Maruti To Maruti	Correlation		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation is signification	int at the 0.01 level	(2-tailed).	

The above table shows that there is a positive correlation between "Maruti to Maruti" and "Reason for Switch over from Maruti to Maruti" (.898**). Among the respondents who have switched over from Maruti brands, the reason for switch over may be common. That may be the logic behind the above strong positive correlation.

TABLE NO	5.2.9		
CORRELAT	TION BETWEEN INTERIORS VS.	EXTERIORS	
		Exteriors	Interiors
Exteriors	Pearson Correlation	1	.583**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Interiors	Pearson Correlation	.583**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

The above table shows a positive correlation between "correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with *interiors*" and "exteriors" (.583**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the exteriors and the interiors are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their the exteriors are mostly satisfied with the interiors also and vice versa.

TABLE NO	5.2.10		
CORRELAT	ION BETWEEN INTERIORS	S VS. STORAGE AND	SPACE
		Interiors	Storage and Space
Interiors	Pearson Correlation	1	.540**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Storage and	Pearson Correlation	.540**	1
Space	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation	on is significant at the 0.01 lev	vel (2-tailed).	1

The above table shows a positive correlation between "interiors" and "Storage and Space" (.540**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the interiors and the Storage and Space are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the interiors are mostly satisfied with the SS also and vice versa.

TABLE NO 5.2	.11		
CORRELATIO	N BETWEEN INTERIORS VS	S. AUDIO/ENTE	RTAINMENT/NAVIGATION
		Interiors	Audio/Entertainment/Navigation
Interiors	Pearson Correlation	1	.505***
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Audio/Entertai nment/Navigat ion		.505**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
1011	N	460	460
**. Correlation	is significant at the 0.01 level (2	2-tailed).	

The above table shows a positive correlation between "interiors" and "Audio/Entertainment/Navigation" (.505**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the interiors and the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the interiors are mostly satisfied with the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation also and vice versa.

TABLE N	O 5.2.12 CORRELATION	BETWEEN	STORAGE AND SPACE VS.
AUDIO/EN	TERTAINMENT/NAVIGATION	ON	
		Storage and	Audio/Entertainment/Navigation
		Space	
Storage	Pearson Correlation	1	.658**
and Space	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Audio/Ent	Pearson Correlation	.658**	1
ertainment/ Navigation	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
ivavigation	N	460	460
**. Correlati	ion is significant at the 0.01 lev	rel (2-tailed).	

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Storage and Space" and "Audio/Entertainment/Navigation" (.658**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Storage and Space and the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the Storage and Space are mostly satisfied with the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation also and vice versa.

TABLE NO	5.2.13		
CORRELA	TION INTERIORS VS. DRIVING	j	
		Interiors	Driving
Interiors	Pearson Correlation	1	.504**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Driving	Pearson Correlation	.504**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

The above table shows a positive correlation between "interiors" and "driving" (.504**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the interiors and the driving are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the interiors may find their driving experience more comfortable.

CORRELATIO	N BETWEEN STORAGE A	ND SPACE VS. SEATS	
		Storage and Space	Seats
Storage and	Pearson Correlation	1	.554**
Space	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Seats	Pearson Correlation	.554**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Storage and Space" and "Seats" (.554**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Storage and Space and the Seats are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the Storage and Space are mostly satisfied with the Seats also and vice versa.

TABLE NO 5.2.15			
CORRELATION B	SETWEEN SEATS VS. AU	DIO/ENTERTA	AINMENT/NAVIGATION
		Seats	Audio/Entertainment/Navigatio
			n
Seats	Pearson Correlation	1	.645**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Audio/Entertainm	Pearson Correlation	.645**	1
ent/Navigation	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation is si	gnificant at the 0.01 level (2	2-tailed).	

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Seats" and "Audio/Entertainment/Navigation" (.645**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Seats and the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the seating comfortability available at the vehicle may give comfortable and convenient travelling experience which may keep the mood pleasure and the people with pleasant mood may be enjoying the audio and other interior comfort.

TABLE NO 5.2.16			
CORRELATION BE	ETWEEN AUDIO/EN	NTERTAINMENT/NAVIGATION	VS. AIR-
CONDITIONING			
		Audio/Entertainment/Navigation	Air-
			Conditioning
Audio/Entertainment/	Pearson Correlation	1	.546**
Navigation	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Air-Conditioning	Pearson Correlation	.546**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation is signif	Ficant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).	

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Audio/Entertainment/Navigation" and "Air-Conditioning" (.546**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation and the Air-Conditioning are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the Audio/Entertainment/Navigation are mostly satisfied with the Air-Conditioning also and vice versa.

CORRELATION B	ETWEEN AIR-CONDITIONIN	NG VS. SEATS	
		Air-Conditioning	Seats
Air-Conditioning	Pearson Correlation	1	.638**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Seats	Pearson Correlation	.638**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Air-Conditioning" and "Seats" (.638**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Air-Conditioning and the Seats are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the Air-Conditioning are mostly satisfied with the seats also and vice versa.

CORREI	LATION BETWEEN SEATS VS. SA	AFETY	
		Seats	Safety
Seats	Pearson Correlation	1	.505**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Safety	Pearson Correlation	.505**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Seats" and "Safety" (.505**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Seats and the Safety are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the Seats are mostly satisfied with the Safety also and vice versa.

CORREI	ATION BETWEEN DRIVING VS	FNGINE	
CORRELA	ATION BETWEEN BRIVING VS	Driving	Engine
D : :		Dirving	
Driving	Pearson Correlation	1	.643**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Engine	Pearson Correlation	.643**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Driving" and "Engine" (.643**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Driving and the Engine are positively correlating here. The smooth and efficient functioning of the engine will lead to comfortable driving. Hence, the above correlation is found logical and meaningful.

TABLE NO 5.	2.20		
CORRELATIO	ON BETWEEN ENGINE VS SAFET	ΓΥ	
		Engine	Safety
Engine	Pearson Correlation	1	.504**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Safety	Pearson Correlation	.504**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation	n is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tai	led).	

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Engine" and "Safety" (.504**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the Engine and the Safety are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the Engine are mostly satisfied with the Safety also and vice versa.

TABLE NO 5.2.21							
CORRELATION BETWEEN PRODUCT/SERVICE IS WORTHY VS.							
PRODUCT/SER	RVICE DOES WHAT IT CLAIMS						
		Worthy	What it Claims				
Worthy	Pearson Correlation	1	.613***				
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000				
	N	460	460				
What it	Pearson Correlation	.613**	1				
Claims	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000					
	N	460	460				
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).							

The above table shows a positive correlation between "Worthy" and "What it claims" (.613**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the worthy and what it claims are positively correlating here. When a car provides all the facilities and comforts that it claims, the customers would feel that among spent on it would be worthy. This may be the logic or reason behind above correlation.

TABLE NO 5.2.22 CORRELATION BETWEEN PRODUCT/SERVICE IS WORTHY VS. PRODUCT/SERVICE IS COMPETITIVELY PRICED

		Worthy	Competitively Priced
Worthy	Pearson Correlation	1	.571***
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Competitively	Pearson Correlation	.571**	1
Priced	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The above table shows a positive correlation between "worthy" and "Competitively Priced" (.571**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the worthy and Competitively Priced are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with the price they spend on the purchase of the car is worthy and they will be mostly satisfied that their brand car is competitively priced.

TABLE NO 5.2.23						
CORRELATION BETWEEN PRODUCT/SERVICE DOES WHAT IT CLAIMS VS.						
PRODUCT	PRODUCT/SERVICE DOES WHAT I NEED					
		What it Claims	What I Need			
What it	Pearson Correlation	1	.502**			
Claims	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000			
	N	460	460			
What I	Pearson Correlation	.502**	1			
Need	Sig. (2-tailed)	000				

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

There is a positive correlation between the variables "what it Claims" and "What I need" (.502**). When the features, provisions, facilities and comforts what the brand claims are absolutely matching the customer requirements, the customers could be more satisfied. Hence, the above correlation is found logical.

460

460

TABLE NO 5.2.24	1		
CORRELATION	BETWEEN PRODUCT/SERV	ICE	
DOES WHAT IT	CLAIMS VS. PRODUCT/SER	VICE IS COMPETITIVEI	LY PRICED
		What it Claims	Competitively
			Priced
What it Claims	Pearson Correlation	1	.572**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	460	460
Competitively	Pearson Correlation	.572**	1
Priced	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	460	460
**. Correlation is s	significant at the 0.01 level (2-ta	ailed).	1

The above table shows a positive correlation between "What it Claims" and "Competitively Priced" (.572**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over what it Claims and Competitively Priced are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied that they avail all the facilities and comforts what the brand claims, will face that the product is competitively priced.

TABLE NO 5.2.25					
CORRELATION B	ETWEEN PRODUCT/SERVIO	CE DOES WHAT	I NEED VS.		
PRODUCT/SERVICE	E IS EASY TO USE				
		What I Need	Easy to use		
What I Need	Pearson Correlation	1	.511**		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000		
N		460	460		
Easy to use	Pearson Correlation	.511**	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			
	N	460	460		
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					

The above table shows a positive correlation between *What I Need* and *Easy to use* (.511**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over what I need and easy to use are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who are satisfied with buying what they need and they will be mostly satisfied when they able to use it easily.

TABLE NO 5.2.26							
CORRELATION	BETWEEN P	PRODUCT/SERVICE	IS	EASY	TO	USE	VS
PRODUCT/SERVICE IS COMPETITIVELY PRICED							
		Easy to use		Compet	•	Priced	
Easy to use	Pearson	1			.509**		
	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)				.000		
	N	460			460		
Competitively	Pearson	.509**			1		
Priced	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000					
	N	460			460		
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).							

The above table shows a positive correlation between "easy to use" and "Competitively Priced" (.509**). The satisfaction levels of the customers over the easy to use and Competitively Priced are positively correlating here. The logic or reason behind their correlation may be the customers who find it "easy to use" may be satisfied with its Competitive Pricing also.

Conclusion

The correlations among all the factors are interesting. Mostly the users are satisfied with the interiors of their cars. Many of the variables are inter-correlating with each other. The reason is – one who is satisfied with one factor is relatively satisfied with many other factors also. This study gave the researcher new insights about the pulse of the customers regarding the features and satisfaction-drivers of Maruti Suzuki car brands.

References

- 1. Chirag Pahuja (2007)1, "Analysis of Car Industry in India on the Basis of Consumer Preferences", ICFAI Business School, www.google.com.
- 2. Rungtai Lin and Chia-Ling Chang (2004)2, "A study of Consumer Perception in Innovative Product", FUTURE GROUND 2004 International Conference, 17-21 Nov. 2004, Australia, Paper- 144.
- 3. Mr.Vishal.S.Rana, Dr.Murlidhar A.Lokhande (2013)3, "Performance Evaluation of Maruti Suzuki India Limited: An Overview", Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing & Management Review, ISSN 23192836, Vol.2 (2), February (2013).
- 4. Dr. Krishnan Kumar (2010)4, "Maruti Automotive Center for Excellence", 21th Annual Conference of the Production and Operations Management Society, Vancouver, Canada, www.google.com.
- 5. Prabhjot Singh, Raghu Monga (2012)5, "Project Report On people's Perception Towards Maruti Suzuki Cars", Chitkara Business School, Chitkara University.